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1. **Title:** Further Definition of Post Hospital Discharge Mortality Risk Factors Among Children Attending Matlab Hospital.
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5. **Total Direct Cost:** $2,994.80

6. **Scientific Program Head:**

   This Protocol has been approved by the Community Services Research Working Group.

   **Signature of Scientific Program Head:**

   **Date:**

7. **Abstract Summary:**

   Recent work indicates that certain subgroups of children who attend Matlab Hospital for care of diarrhoea may have a substantially increased risk of dying after discharge from the hospital. In particular, children who are severely malnourished and aged 24-36 months appear at high-risk. In this study, using a larger sample of post-discharge deaths and studying all children 0-60 months of age, we will assess other factors, evident during hospitalization, that predict mortality. The study is designed as a case-control analysis. Cases will be defined as deaths occurring within 6 months after discharge of children who are aged
0-60 months at the time of hospital admission. Cases will be drawn from patients attending Matlab Hospital during the interval 1979-82, and will be identified by linking DSS death records with hospital admission logs. Controls will be defined as patients who survive at least 6 months after discharge; for each case three controls will be selected randomly from among patients admitted the same day as each corresponding case. For each patient we will obtain demographic characteristics of the patient and the patient's family (from census data) as well as clinical data (from clinical records) describing the character, severity, and duration of diarrhoea, isolated etiologic pathogens, coexisting illnesses, treatments required, duration of hospitalization and weight at discharge. The odds ratio relating death to each of these features closely approximates the relative risk of death in patients having the feature vs. those lacking the feature. When combined in a multiple logistic regression, moreover, the ln (coefficient) for each factor correspond to the odds ratio, controlling for all other factors in the equation. In this way, the strongest independent predictors of mortality can be discerned and a "decision rule" demarcating groups at highest risk on the basis of conjoint consideration of several risk factors can be developed for use in future interventions.

8. Reviewers:

(a) Research Involving Human Subjects:

(b) Research Review Committee:

(c) Director:
SECTION II - RESEARCH PLAN

A. INTRODUCTION:

1. Objective:

   To evaluate factors which predict post-discharge mortality in children treated at Matlab Hospital and to develop a "decision-rule" by which high-risk children can be identified for intensive follow-up and future interventions.

2. Background:

   Little is known about the ultimate fate of children given acceptable care for diarrhoea in treatment centers in developing countries. In an important study, S.K. Roy and colleagues provided initial information about a cohort of 551 children aged 0-4 years seen at Matlab Hospital during 1979 (1). During the 12 months following presentation, 23 (4%) of the children died, as opposed to the 19 deaths expected on the basis of age-specific general population mortality rates. Although this did not represent a statistically significant excess of deaths, it was impressive that 70% of deaths occurred within 3 months of discharge and that a statistically significant excess of deaths was evident for children aged 24-35 months. In this age group, the excess mortality was attributable largely to mortality among children with severe (≤55% NCHS standard, weight for age) malnutrition.

   In this study, however, deaths among children 24-36 months with ≤55% of the NCHS weight for age accounted for only 8 of the 23 deaths observed in the study. Accordingly, although age and nutritional
status are important determinants of prognosis, other factors of prognostic importance clearly exist. In this study, we propose to expand the observations of Roy et al. to identify additional prognostic factors. We also propose to develop a "decision rule" formed on the basis of conjoint consideration of several important prognostic factors for demarcating subjects at high and low risk of post-discharge mortality.

METHODS

General

The research strategy for this study will be a case-control study. Cases will be children <5 years who die within 6 months of discharge from Matlab Hospital. Controls will be patients admitted the same day as the cases, but surviving at least 6 months after discharge. Three controls will be randomly selected for each case. For each case and control, we will collect demographic characteristics, as well as clinical information about the admission and nutritional status at discharge. Demographic, nutritional, and clinical variables will then be tested for their ability to predict death, and multivariate techniques will be used to develop a decision rule to demarcate patients at particularly high risk of death.

Overall Eligibility and Sampling Frame

Patients will be potentially eligible for the study if: a) they attended Matlab Hospital for care of diarrhoea between January 1, 1979 and January 1, 1983; b) they were aged ≤60 months at the time of admission; and c) they
were residents of villages included in the Demographic Surveillance System at the time of admission. No constraints will be placed upon gender, or severity or duration of diarrhoea. Moreover, "diarrhoea" will be defined as a complaint of diarrhoea motivating a patient to seek care at the treatment centre.

Case Definition

For the purpose of this study, a "case" will be defined as a subject who fulfilled the above eligibility requirements and who died within 6 months of discharge from the hospital.

Case Selection

To select cases, we will generate lists of all children 0-60 months of age seen at Matlab Hospital between 1.1.79-1.1.83. We will then match these lists with computer files of all deaths occurring in children 0-67 months between 1.1.79-8.8.83. Matching will make use of DSS registration numbers. This will ensure that children who are hospitalized as long as one month will still have follow-up for fatal events for 6 months after discharge. Those fatalities occurring within 6 months of discharge will comprise the "cases".

Control Definition

Controls will be defined as DSS residents ≤60 months of age admitted to Matlab Hospital between January 1, 1979-January 1, 1983, who were discharged alive and who survived at least 6 months after discharge.
Control Selection

For each case, 3 controls, (chosen from 6-month survivors who were admitted immediately prior to or after the case) will be selected. After compiling the list of the controls, it will be ascertained by matching with DSS migration files that the control did not migrate out of the DSS area within 6 months of discharge.

Prognostic Features: Data Acquisition

Prognostic features to be examined will be of two major types: demographic and clinical. Demographic features, obtained from relevant census information, will include age, gender, maternal education, family size, antecedent childhood deaths in the family, and socio-economic indicators such as land and animal ownership, and construction of dwelling. Clinical information will be obtained from the clinical record. Admission data will include type of diarrhoea (watery vs. non-watery; dysentery), duration of diarrhoea, severity of dehydration, height of temperature, as well as associated illnesses that were noted. Post-admission data will include types of rehydration (IV vs. oral) and non-rehydration therapies received (e.g. antibiotics), duration of hospitalization, complications, and weight at discharge (to ascertain percentile weight for age). Any etiologic pathogens isolated will also be noted. All information will be entered onto pre-specified data forms specially prepared for this study.

Analysis: Evaluation of Prognostic Factors

In a case-control study, the degree of risk conferred by exposure to a particular risk factor is expressed as an odds ratio, relating the
exposure to the outcome. Since the outcome to be studied (death) is rare, this odds ratio closely approximates the relative risk of death among those exposed vs. those not exposed to each prognostic factor. The significance of each association will be evaluated with the chi-square test, and 95% confidence intervals for the odds ratios will be calculated according to the method of Miettinen (2).

Analysis: Development of a Decision Rule

To ascertain the relative independent importance of the prognostic features described above, we will enter all statistically significant (P<.05) factors into a logistic regression equation, using the existing software package at ICDDR,B (3). Those variables whose coefficients retain statistical significance in the regression will then be considered in sequential bivariate fashion, in order of the magnitude of their associated regression coefficients. The goal of these sequential bivariate analyses will be to demarcate clusters of variables that predict as high a fraction of deaths as possible, while retaining considerable efficiency (odds ratio ≥5) in the prediction. Assuming the attainment of a variable cluster with ≥95% sensitivity in identifying deaths, this will be equivalent to ensuring roughly 80% specificity. By way of comparison, the factors delineated by Roy and colleagues predict post-discharge mortality with 97% specificity, but only 33% sensitivity, with the result that many patients at risk for death are missed at the price of needlessly high specificity.
Preservation of Confidentiality

All records will be kept in a locked file cabinet in the Principal Investigator's Office. No subject will be mentioned by name in any report, and all analysis will be performed using only study numbers of patients rather than names of patients.
Abstract Summary

1. Patients will be eligible if they were aged 0–60 months at the time of presentation to Matlab Hospital between 1979–82, and if they were residents of the OSS area. The study will be retrospective in nature.

2. No risks will be involved, as they study as retrospective and patients' identities will be kept confidential.

3. Risks are non-existent.

4. Records will be kept in a locked filing cabinet. Analysis will be done using patients' study numbers rather than their identities. No reference to patients' names will be made in reports of the research.

5. No consent will be required.

6. No interview will take place.

7. Benefits include increased understanding of risk factors for post hospital discharge mortality. Risks are non-existent.

8. Medical records will be used.
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SECTION III - BUDGET

A. DETAILED BUDGET

1. PERSONNEL SERVICES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>% of Effort</th>
<th>Annual Salary</th>
<th>Project Requirements Taka</th>
<th>Dollar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John D. Clemens</td>
<td>P.Investigator</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Stanton</td>
<td>Co-Invest.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Wojtynyak</td>
<td>Co-Invest.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Chowdhury</td>
<td>Co-Invest.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Sheikh</td>
<td>Co-Invest.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Chakrabarty</td>
<td>Co-Invest.</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be named</td>
<td>Coding Asst.(2) Each 8 months</td>
<td>2,171</td>
<td>34,736</td>
<td>34,736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal: 34,736

2. SUPPLIES

Office supplies and Xeroxing 200.00

3. EQUIPMENT None

4. HOSPITALIZATION None

5. OUTPATIENT CARE None

6. ICDDR,B TRANSPORT

Dhaka-Matlab-Dhaka(5) at 1200T/trip 6000

7-8 TRANSPORT OF PERSONS AND THINGS None

9. RENT None

10. PRINTING OF DATA FORMS

100.00

11. OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES

Data entry and editing 3,634
Programming 15,000
Computer time (40 hours Tk.200/hr) 8,000

Subtotal: 26,634 300.00

Total: 67,370 Taka US$300

At 25T/US$: $2994.80
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Dollars</th>
<th>Taka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td>34,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Supplies</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hospitalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Outpatient Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. ICDDR,B Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8. Transport of Persons and Things</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Rent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Printing of Data Forms</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>26,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Other Contractual Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>67,370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar equivalent (@25T/US$) = US$2994.80