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SECTION 1 - RESEARCH PROTOCOL

TITLE: NUTRITIONAL IMPACT OF PERIODIC DEWORMING OF YOUNG CHILDREN IN BANGLADESH.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. G. H. Rabbani

CO-INVESTIGATORS: Dr. John Clemens, Dr. Asma Khanam, B. Kirkwood (School of Hygiene, University of London) Statistician
Dr. A.H. Baqui & Mr. Emdad (Matlab)
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COMPLETION DATE: October 1984
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SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMME: This protocol has been approved by the Nutrition Working Group.

PROGRAMME HEAD: [Signature]

DATE: 06.10.83

ABSTRACT:

From several villages in the Matlab VTS area, 750 children aged between 2-3 years having moderate to high worm load will be selected for periodic deworming (4 times a year) and nutritional follow up for one year. Children will be selected and screened for the presence of malnutrition and for ascaris and hookworm infection. On the basis of this initial prevalence survey, the magnitude of association between malnutrition and worm infestation, controlling for the potential confounding variables, will be estimated. In patients showing evidence of mild or moderate malnutrition (70%-89% of Harvard median of height for weight) as well as worm infestation, a clinical trial to assess the impact of deworming upon nutrition will be undertaken.

A randomized double-blind procedure will be followed for allocating deworming therapy to ascaris and/or hookworm infected children. After one year, children will be compared in relation to their growth changes. This study is expected to provide important data to understand the complex host-parasite relationship and would be useful for developing mass therapy programs in the national health plans.

REVIEWS:

(a) Research involving human subject: ________________________________

(b) Research Review Committee: ________________________________

(c) Director: ________________________________
SECTION II - RESEARCH PLAN

A. INTRODUCTION:

A.1. OBJECTIVES:

To assess the nutritional impact of periodic deworming of young children in Bangladesh in relation to Ascaris and hookworm infection.

A.2. BACKGROUND:

The Problem:

Ascariasis is a world wide problem, more than one quarter of world's population in the developing areas are infected with one or more species of intestinal parasites, notably Ascaris and Hookworm (WHO, JOICEF 1980). The interaction between the human host and the parasite is a complex one and involves a multiplicity of confounding factors. However, it is generally agreed that massive helminthic infections produce an adverse effects on the status of nutrition. It is however not clear to what extent different grades of infection contribute to malnutrition in the community. Field studies should therefore be carried out to assess the effects of various parasites at varying levels of wormload upon status of nutrition. It is not known whether parasite control by mass treatment improves community nutrition.

The prevalence rate of Ascaris infection varies widely depending upon the socio cultural condition of the population studied and the surrounding environmental condition. In Bangladesh, uncontrolled observations indicate that the prevalence rate of Ascaris infection in hospitalized adult population is about 60% and that for Hookworm 80% (Rabban and Gilman 1979, CRJ Pub.No. 30). Data collected at the Matlab Field Station of ICDDR,B indicate an infection rate for Ascaris of 85%, Hookworm 44%, and Trichuris 36% (Hossain et al 1981). It is presumed that with concentration technique the infection rate in children may be much higher. The above report also showed that the prevalence of Ascaris (65%), Hookworm (52%) and Trichuris (36%) was greatest among children 5-14 years age. Prevalence data on helminthic infection in Bangladesh has been given in the following table:
Prevalence of Ascaris and Hookworm infection in Bangladesh:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Hookworm</th>
<th>Ascaris</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuntz, 1960</td>
<td>Around Dacca City</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begum NN, 1975</td>
<td>N. A.</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Zaman et al, 1967</td>
<td>Mymensingh</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muazzam et al 1961</td>
<td>Rural Area</td>
<td>57% (all helminths)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huq N et al, 1974</td>
<td>Urban Dacca</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackay et al,</td>
<td>Sylhet Tea State</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftabuddin, 1973</td>
<td>Mymensingh</td>
<td>32% (combined infection)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muttalib, 1975</td>
<td>Dacca University students</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hossain et al, 1981</td>
<td>Matlab Surveillance area, ICDDR,B</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete reference at the end.

Effects of Ascaris infection on hosts nutrition (clinical studies):

Ascaris infection affects the physiology of the host in several ways, the most important of which is the effects on nutrition. There is abundant clinical evidence to suggest that Ascaris may affect the host's nutrition in the several ways:

a by competing with it for nutrients (Venkatachalam 1953),
b by causing malabsorption of fat and carbohydrate (Tripathy et al, 1971),
c by inhibiting digestive enzymes (Collier 1941, Thompson et al, 1952),
f by ingesting host's food in the intestine (Woodraf 1967, Li et al),
g by directly damaging intestinal wall (Tripathy, Jelliffe 1953), and
h by Toxic action on the smooth muscle of the intestine (Raba 1967, Guardiaola 1964).

A critical analysis of these studies would clearly indicate that presence of Ascarids in the intestine is most likely to lead to intestinal malabsorption and malnutrition. Indeed a good number of clinical studies have demonstrated this fact and indicated that therapeutic removal of ascarids from previously infected individuals resulted in increased food utilization and subsequent improvement in the status of nutrition. (Brown et al, 1980, Lagmdoye 1972). On the basis of these grounds the treatment of individual patient, particularly those with heavy infection remains naturally justified.

Hookworm infection:

The relationship between the Hookworm infection and iron deficiency anemia is well established. Earlier studies indicated that Hookworm infection could cause mal-absorption (Boycott et al), low vitamin utilization (Foster 1932, 1935) and decrease in nitrogen absorption (Darke et al, 1959). The blood loss produced by the worms was found to range from about 2 ml/day in lightly infested subjects to about 100 ml/day in subject with heavy infestation. Studies in Japan showed that lower serum iron level and decreased work ability has been associated with Hookworm anemia (Masuya 1980). Similar observation has been reported in sugar cane-cutters and lattex trapers in Indonesia. In Bangladesh, where the Hookworm infection is highly endemic and the population in general has low iron reserve, the deleterious effects of the infection can easily be conceived.

Trichuriasis:

Trichuris infection occurs through the fecal contamination of foods. The adult worm resides in the caecum or lower ilium where it attaches itself to the mucosa. In general, trichuriasis has been considered a relatively harmless parasite producing symptoms only when present in large numbers. However, several workers have reported iron deficiency anemia in children with heavy trichuris infection (Jung et al 1951, Larysee et al 1968). This has been attributed to blood loss from the gut, though it is much less compared to Hookworm infection. Larysee et al found that the mean daily fecal blood loss ranged from 0.8 to 8.6 ml in heavily infected children.

Community Studies:

At the community level, studies were undertaken to assess the nutritional impact of mass treatment in several countries, however the results obtained were conflicting. Field studies done so far are only few, some of them failed to show the expected improvement in nutrition after mass chemotherapy.
To our knowledge only few longitudinal studies were conducted in the recent past to examine the relationship between Ascariasis and malnutrition in children. Results of these studies are conflicting and have to be interpreted with great caution.

Gupta et al (1977) studied the effect of periodic deworming on the nutritional status of Indian preschool children in two villages, one of which served as control. The results showed that the nutritional status remained unaltered in the controls but improved strikingly in treated children 8 to 12 months after therapy. These results may be inconclusive because (a) the number of subjects studied is too small (154) to demonstrate the nutritional impact and in addition, (b) food supplementation was given to some of the children by a food aid program (UNICEF) which has directly influenced the outcome on nutrition. Moreover no information was taken on the magnitude of weight changes and intensity of infection which is an important parasite factor on nutrition. No other parameters except weight for age was taken to measure the changes in nutrition.

Willet et al, (1979) studied Tanzanian preschool children who were randomly assigned to a levamisole or placebo treatment which was given at 3 months interval. The authors reported that in children infected with Ascaris, the rate of weight gain was 21% greater in treated than in untreated children. However, the sample size was small and the difference was only marginally significant. They have used levamisole which is a broad spectrum antihelminthic and probably has taken care of many other parasites too.

Freij et al, (1979) studied Ethiopian children with Ascariasis and reported that antihelminthic treatment did not produce any evidence of improved intestinal morphology and absorption of nutritional elements. Therapy had no impact on anthropometric measurements in an additional study group of children. The major difficulty of the study is the smallness of the sample size (only 13 and 84 in two trials). The intensity of infection was very mild which might have influenced the nutritional outcome.

Shah et al, (1975) studied the effect of periodic deworming on the nutritional status of 320 Indian preschool children in a study and control village in Bombay. The authors reported that the impact of periodic deworming on the nutritional status of the children could not be proved significant. This observation is not convincing because the children were followed up only for 3 months, the placebo treatment contained folic acid and iron, wormload was not determined and nutritional status was measured by direct weight changes only.

Stephenson et al, (1980) performed a similar study in 375 Kenyan preschool children and reported that after deworming, previously infected children showed a better weight gain than the controls. Skinfold thickness
also showed significant improvement after treatment. The authors conclude that Ascaris infection adversely affects the nutritional status and therefore periodic deworming is highly recommended. However, the study design was not precise since the authors selected the initially negative children as their own controls and removed those children from the final analysis who later contracted the infection.

Greenberg et al. (1981) treated 185 Bangladeshi Ascaris infected children with a single dose of piperazine and followed them nutritionally for 7 months. No difference was found between the treated and non treated group. A single dose of treatment was given and the cure rate was 31-53%. This indicates partial removal of worms, one would not expect significant improvement in nutrition from this kind of therapy. Children with heavy infection are most likely to benefit from such treatment however the number of such children were too small in the study.

Results of these studies were summarised in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Place/Time</th>
<th>Effect on Nutrition</th>
<th>Study design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al</td>
<td>India (1977)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephenson et al</td>
<td>Kenya (1980)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freij et al</td>
<td>Ethiopia (1979)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al</td>
<td>India (1975)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willet et al</td>
<td>Tanzania (1979)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenberg et al</td>
<td>Bangladesh (1981)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMENT:

All these studies must be considered inconclusive because they examined very limited population and because most of the experimental design lacked precision.

A comprehensive review of available literature on parasitic infection and malnutrition was done by the recent seminar "On parasitic control in the prevention of malnutrition", jointly organized by WHO, UNICEF, Japanese organization for International Cooperation in Family Planning (JOICEF) and Japan Association of Parasite Control (JAPC) 1980. After careful review of the current knowledge the committee agreed:
"THAT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INFECTION BY THE SOIL-TRANSMITTED HELMINTHS AND NUTRITION DEFINITELY EXISTS, BUT THE EXTENT OF ITS CONTRIBUTION TO THE GENERAL PROBLEM OF MALNUTRITION IS NOT WELL UNDERSTOOD: SPECIALLY PRECISE INFORMATION IS LACKING ABOUT THE EFFECT THAT PARASITE CONTROL THROUGH PERIODIC DEWORMING WOULD HAVE ON THE STATE OF NUTRITION".

"... THEREFORE THE ASSEMBLED RECOMMEND THAT A WELL DESIGNED EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF PERIODIC DEWORMING ON NUTRITION BE CONDUCTED ...".

And this is what has been planned in the present protocol.

A.3. RATIONALE:

Parasitic infestations are thought to be a very common health problem in developing countries. Much has been talked about this problem but little has been done. Still we do not definitely know to what extent each individual helminth can impair growth in a developing child. However many programs have been launched on the assumption that deworming would improve nutrition. At this point it is essential to answer the question that to what extent a parasite control program, through periodic deworming would have on the status of nutrition. This information are crucial for the formulation of a national health policy.

B. SPECIFIC AIMS:

1. The primary aim of this study is to determine if there is any significant improvement in nutrition after repeated deworming of the helminth infected children.

2. However, the data obtained during the course of the study will also be available for answering the following secondary questions.

   (a) determination of prevalence and incidence of ascaris and hookworm infections in children 2-3 years age.

   (b) determination of the magnitude of association between malnutrition and intestinal parasites using the initial prevalence survey data.

   (c) correlation of fecal egg excretion with the number of worms expelled and weight of the worms (biomass) and

   (d) identification of species (Ancylostoma and Necator) of hookworm.
C. METHODS AND PROCEDURES (REVISED):

C.1 Study village:

The study will be conducted in the Matlab field surveillance area of ICDDR,B. This field unit has an excellent organizational set up to conduct field surveys, particularly those requiring frequent home visit. Matlab hospital will provide all clinical facilities and will act as a centre for patients referral. The demographic surveillance system at Matlab keeps an updated record of all vital events from which an accurate base line data including the age of the child can be determined. A recent survey indicates that the area has high infection rates of intestinal parasites, 70% of children below 4 years has ascaris, 36% hookworm and 33% trichuris, only 22% were helminth free (Hossain et al 1981).

C.2 Patients selection:

C.2.1 Sampling frame:

The sampling frame for selection of patients will comprise 8-10 villages under the Matlab VTS area. From these villages all children aged between 2-3 years will have their stool examined to select 750 study children.

C.2.2 Eligibility:

Eligibility criteria for the study subjects will include.

(a) age between 24-36 months.

(b) nutritional status (weight for height) below 80% of the Harvard median.

(c) stool examination yielding either ascaris or hookworm ova.

(d) subjects will be excluded from the study if severe malnutrition is evident i.e. below 60% of Harvard median or if the stool specimen is positive for cyst or trophozites of E. histolytica or G. lamblia. Subjects will not be included into the study if consent for participation is not given.
C. 3 Recruitment of patients:

1100 appropriately aged children from the designated villages will be screened for the following information after appropriate consent is given.

(a) Nutritional status (height, weights, triceps skin-fold thickness, mid-arm circumference and cranial diameter).

(b) Single-stool examination for ova and parasites.

For children meeting the eligibility requirements mothers will also be questioned about:

(a) medical histories regarding recent illnesses affecting nutrition (i.e., measles, diarrhoea, serious respiratory infections).

(b) current dietary history, as well as any recent changes in dietary habits.

(c) family socio-economic status.

(d) family size, birth order of child, and mortality experience of other children in the family.

These data will serve to characterise the base-line status of the children participating in the field study. In addition, a consecutive subsample of 320 children will be similarly characterized for the purpose of assessing the magnitude of association between worm infection and malnutrition. This will detect an odds-ratio for the association of at least 2, with adequate (0.8) statistical power. It should be noted here that the measure of nutrition used to ascertain eligibility (wt for ht) is thought to represent acute wasting and hence should be a suitable measure of response to both worm-infestation and chemotherapeutic deworming.

C. 4 Allocation to therapy:

Screening should yield 750 children with ascaris alone and similar number with both ascaris and hookworm only. Each etiologic subgroups will then be allocated, according to a balanced random number scheme to the following therapies:

A. children with ascaris only pyrantel placebo

B. children with ascaris and hookworm pyrantel placebo

Children in each group will be further subdivided into three subgroups on the basis of intensity of infection.
Intensity of infection will be defined as light, moderate and heavy depending on the number of eggs per gram of feces.

C. 5 **Therapeutic regimens and monitoring:**

C.5.1 **Treatment procedures:**

After the completion of preparatory phase administration of deworming drugs and placebo will take place at 3 monthly intervals. On the first week of the month, 750 children will be treated, during the second week stool will be re-examined and over the third and fourth week anthropometric measurements will be taken.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparatory phase (3 months)</th>
<th>1st visit (0 month)</th>
<th>2nd visit (3 month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- base line data</td>
<td>- stool exam.</td>
<td>- stool exam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- anthrop.</td>
<td>- worm counting</td>
<td>- anthrop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- stool screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- staff training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3rd visit (6 month)</th>
<th>4th visit (9 month)</th>
<th>5th visit (12 month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rx 3</td>
<td>Rx 4</td>
<td>Rx 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- stool exam.</td>
<td>- stool exam.</td>
<td>- stool exam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- anthrop.</td>
<td>- anthrop.</td>
<td>- anthrop.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. 6 **Follow-up:**

Total follow-up period for the trial will be one year after initial implantation of therapy. Follow-up will be conducted so that neither the investigator team nor the subjects are aware of the identity of the therapeutic agents employed. A code for the agent will be kept in a locked file in the Matlab pharmacy. In case of a suspected serious reaction to therapy, the patient will be withdrawn from the trial at the time.
C. 7 Field procedures:

C.7.1 Field questionnaires:

Standardised questionnaire composed in Bengali will be administered to appropriate subjects to determine dietary habits, socio-economic status and medical histories. All field-workers will be trained in the use of the questioner and a random sample of the questioner, will be repeated by the supervisors to ascertain its accuracy.

C.7.2 Anthropometry:

Weight, height, arm-circumference and triceps skin-fold thickness will be measured by the field-workers after an initial training period. (Appendix I). All weights will be measured to the nearest 50 gm using a 25 gm salter scale calibrated daily. Body length will be measured to the nearest 1 cm on a locally constructed two track length board. Left mid-arm circumference will be measured by oil-cloth tailor's tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. Triceps skin-fold calipers will be employed to measure skin fold thickness. Two-person teams will perform all measurements.

C.7.3 Method of stool examination:

KATO thick smear technique: (WHO 67, KATO 68): For egg excretion studies, Kato thick smear technique will be used to count number of eggs present per gram of stool. Kato technique is a very simple but efficient method for ova counting and had been extensively used for schistosomiasis surveys in Japan and African countries. In this technique an estimated amount of faeces (50-70 mg) is placed on a glass slide and covered with a glycerin soaked cellophane paper cut into the size of a cover slip and examined under the low power microscope. The count in the whole field can be expressed as number of eggs per gram of faeces (Appendix V, VI).

At the ICDDR,B pathology laboratory, I have standardised this technique using commercially available test kits OVA-FEC (Bohringer Lab, Rio de Janeiro). The method gave satisfactory and reproducible results with Hookworm, Ascaris and Trichuris ova. In the fields stool will be collected in pre-marked containers and examined the same day.
C. 8 Treatment and benefit of the subject:

Children who are heavily infected and having symptomatic disease such as vomiting of worms or intestinal obstruction due to the presence of Ascarids will be given appropriate form of therapy. If required hospitalized care will be provided. In addition, general health care facilities will be provided to the members of the family or the study children free of cost. All control children will be dewormed at the end of the study.

C. 9 Data Analysis:

C.9. 1 Prevalence survey:

To assess the association between worm infestation and malnutrition, each child in the prevalence study will be categorized as significantly malnourished (≤79% of Harvard median weight for height) or not, and as infested or not. Association between specific infestations and malnutrition will be assessed as a prevalence odds ratio. Control for confounding variables such as age, sex, socioeconomic status and dietary history will be made using multivariate modelling with logistic regression.

C.9. 2 Deworming trial:

The basic analysis will assess whether antihelminthic therapy provides a significant increase in the nutritional status (defined as >10% of Harvard median of weight for height over the one year period. Simple analysis will employ chi-square test for this comparison. Multivariate analysis accounting for any baseline inequalities in the compared groups will employ a logistic regression model.

We will also examine transition of other nutritional measurements (weight/age, height/age, arm circumference/age, arm-circumference/height, Wt/Q, Ht/Q etc) using similar statistical techniques.

C.9. 3 Relationship between egg-count and worm burden:

A correlation co-efficient (r) will be calculated to examine the relationship between these two variables. The value of $R^2$ will indicate the proportion of the variance in worm-load explained by egg counting. Linear regression will also be performed to assess the magnitude of the coefficient ($p$) describing the prediction of worms burdens by egg counts.
Significance:
(See Rationale).

E. Facilities required:

Office space : Present office space will be used.
Laboratory space : Existing laboratory space will be utilized.
Hospital source : Matlab Hospital will be used.
Animal resources : None.
Logistic support : Data processing at the ICDDR,B
Major equipment Computer centre will be done.
Others : None.
Transport : None.

F. Collaborating Arrangement: None.
REFERENCES


40. Nuruzzaman M, Huda Q. Parasitic infection in Gastrointestinal Tract Disorders, Bangladesh.


Reference on Pyrantel Palmoate:


## PERSONNEL SERVICES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel services</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>% effort</th>
<th>Annual salary (Taka)</th>
<th>Project requirement (Taka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. G.H. Rabbani</td>
<td>Principal Investigator</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6,700.00</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. John Clemens</td>
<td>Co-Investigator</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field supervisor (Matlab)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. R. Muller (Helminthologist, London)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No-cost-involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. B. Kirkwood (Statistitian, London)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No cost involved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. M. Rahaman</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub Total: Tk. 30,000.00

## Field staffs:

- Microscopist (one) - Tk. 1000.00/month Tk. 18000.00
- Field worker (one male) - Tk. 750.00/month Tk. 13500.00
- Field worker (one female) - Tk. 750.00/month Tk. 13500.00
- Field Asstt. (one) - Tk. 750.00/month Tk. 13500.00
- Boatman (one) - Tk. 500.00/month Tk. 9000.00

Sub Total: Taka = 67,500.00
SUPPLIES:

Microscope slides (1000 pc) $200.00
Laboratory reagents/supplies $200.00
Pyrantel palmoate (1200 doses) plus Placebo
Plastic stool cups (200 pcs, reusable) $200.00
Printing and publication $200.00
Weighting balance/Measuring board
(Existing) Skinfold calipers $1000.00
Piperazine + Placebo $200.00
Stool-test kits (from Brazil) $1000.00

Sub Total $3,000.00

EQUIPMENTS:

Microscope 1 (one) $2000.00

PATIENT HOSPITALIZATION:

50 pts X 2 day X Tk. 160 = Tk. 16000.00

OUTPATIENT CARE: None

TRANSPORT:

Dhaka-Matlab trip + Boat trip in Matlab = Tk. 5000.00

TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION OF PERSONS: None

TRANSPORTATION OF THINGS: None

IMPORT: None

RENT, COMMUNICATION & UTILITIES: None

PRINTING AND REPRODUCTION: = Tk. 3000.00

CONTRACTUAL SERVICES:

Computer time $1000.00
D. BUDGET SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>US $</th>
<th>Taka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Personnel services</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Supplies</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Equipments (microscope one)</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Patients Hospitalization</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Outpatient care</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Transport</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Travel of persons</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Transport of Things</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Rent/Communication</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Printing/Reproduction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Contractual services (Computer)</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| US $ 6,000.00 | Tk. 1,21000.00 = $ 4,850.00 |

Grand Total = US $ 10,850.00
### Deworming Study

**Name:** ___________________________  **Age:** ______  **Sex:** ______  **Case No.:** ______

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal disch.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin rash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear pus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatment:**

- Physician /____/
- Quack /____/
- Homopath /____/
- CRL Hospital /____/
- Others /____/

**Deworming drugs from other sources:** ___________________________
Appendix - II

DIETARY HISTORY

Name: ___________________  Age: ______________  Sex: _______  Case No. _______

Drug code: _______________  Date of visit: _______  Location: _______________

No. of meals cooked per day: ___________________  Breast milk: _______
Snacks consumed per day: ___________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food item</th>
<th>Estimated amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Breakfast:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (panta)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chira</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2. Lunch:          |                  |
| Rice               |                  |
| Veg. curry         |                  |
| Bread              |                  |
| Dal                |                  |
| Fish               |                  |
| Meat               |                  |
| Gur                |                  |
| Other specify      |                  |

| 3. Supper:         |                  |
| Rice               |                  |
| Veg. curry         |                  |
| Bread              |                  |
| Dal                |                  |
| Fish               |                  |
| Meat               |                  |
| Milk               |                  |
| Others (specify)   |                  |
Appendix —

TIME SCHEDULE FOR FIELD WORKERS

(No.s Field staffs = 3, Supervisor = 1)

1st week of the month: (Drug administration):

One worker will treat 33 children in one day
3 workers will treat 100 children/day
3 workers will treat 600 children in 6 days.

2nd week of the month: (Stool examination):

One microscopist will examine 50 stools/day
(one Kato test takes 6-10 min)

For 300 stool specimens (treated case only) = 6 days.

3rd week of the month: (Anthropometry):

3 workers will cover 50 (approx) children per day
600 children will be covered = 12 days.

4th week of the month:

During the 4th week and in between they will record the clinical data by home visit. The work of the Field staff will be checked by the supervisor twice weekly.
## Stool examination for parasites, visits 1-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasites</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 3</th>
<th>Visit 4</th>
<th>Visit 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascaris ova</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>treated</td>
<td>treated</td>
<td>treated</td>
<td>treated</td>
<td>treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hookworm ova</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichuris:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix - V

Figure: Relationship of ova count to number of worms expelled after therapy

Regression analysis:

\[ r = \]
\[ n = \]
\[ p = \]

Ova per gram of faeces

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80

Numbers of worms expelled or Weight of worms expelled (Biomass)
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Anthropometric measurement
(Study and control sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group (n)</th>
<th>Visit 1</th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 3</th>
<th>Visit 4</th>
<th>Visit 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt/Age (%)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ht/Age (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm circumf (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm cirf/Age (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin fold (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin fold/Age (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD
Appendix - VII

Deworming study

Socio-economic data

Name: ____________________ Age: ______ Sex: ______ Case No.: ______

Tot. Nos. of individuals in the family: _______________________

Level of education: Father /___/ Illiterate /___/ Prim. school /___/ Mother /___/ Illiterate /___/ Primary school /___/

Monthly income of Head of Family: _______________________

Occupation: Farmer /___/ Labour /___/ Business /___/ Others /___/

Defecation Habits: Open field /___/ Privy /___/ Bush /___/

Water source: Tube well /___/ Pond /___/ Well /___/ River /___/

Type of Housing: _______________________

Table 1

DISTRIBUTION OF NUTRITIONAL INDICATORS BY WORM LOAD FOR CASE-CONTROL GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Worm Load</th>
<th>wt/age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>ht/age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>wt/ht</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2 SD</td>
<td>-2 SD</td>
<td>-1 SD</td>
<td>X²</td>
<td>-2 SD</td>
<td>-1 SD</td>
<td>X²</td>
<td>-2 SD</td>
<td>-2 SD</td>
<td>-1SD</td>
<td>X²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1 SD</td>
<td>Median (P)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1 SD</td>
<td>Median (P)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1SD *Median (P)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Severe
Case
Control

Moderate
Control
Case

Low
Control
Case

Total
Control

a Classes refer to NCHS Standard.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional indicator</th>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>$X^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Malnourished</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Contr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt./age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ht./age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt./age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Malnutrition is defined as the proportion of children in the population falling below the median - 2 SD by comparison with the reference population.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Worm load</th>
<th>wt/age</th>
<th>ht/age</th>
<th>wt/age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90</td>
<td>+ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90</td>
<td>+ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Contr.</td>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Contr.</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>Contr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td></td>
<td>Contr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ Upper bound of centile class.
ABSTRACT SUMMARY

Field studies to determine the nutritional effect of deworming of preschool children in rural Bangladesh

1. This study will be conducted in 750 children aged 2-3 years in the Matlab field area. 375 children in the village will be periodically treated with pyrantel palmonate 4 times a year while the other 375 will serve as control. Age, height, weight and other anthropometric measurements will be taken and followed up for 12 months period. Stool will be examined for Hookworm and Ascaris ova 4 times a year. In 50 selected children worms will be counted after expulsion in the faeces. Ascaris and/or hookworm infected children will be the subjects of this study.

2. Children will be treated with pyrantel palmoate which is safe drug and has little undesirable side effects. The study involves no risk as to the psychological, social, legal or other aspects of the subject. The fundamental procedure is to collect stool samples and give treatment for the parasites.

3. Ascaris and hookworm infected children under the study surveillance will be closely supervised by the field workers. Medical attention will be provided if there is any need.

4. Data collected will be computerized and confidentiality will be maintained. All data will be abbreviated and will be published without reference to the subjects name and identity.

5. Informed written consent will be obtained from the parents/legal guardian of the children at the time of admitting into the study.

6. No personal interview except relevant history of illness will be taken.

7. The direct benefit to the subject will be the cost free treatment of Hookworm and Ascaris infection. The long term social benefit may be the formulation and implementation of national parasite control program in the country.

8. No retrospective hospital records will be used. No biological specimens except stool will be taken from the subjects.
Deworming study (Matlab)

Consent form

I understand that the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh is carrying out a community research to determine the nutritional effect of periodic treatment of Ascaris and Hookworm infection of children. I also understand that my child has been found infected with intestinal worms (Ascaris and/or hookworm) and may be included into the study if I wish. The child will have his/her height, weight, and body measurements taken at regular intervals of 3 months for one year. Stool samples will be collected and examined for intestinal worms 4 times a year. The child will unpredictably receive treatment with Pyrantel (1 dose). The child may also get treatment with identical preparation as the drugs, but without the active ingredients (Placebo), this will not cure the worms. Each child will be treated for worms 4 times a year at 3 months intervals. All worms, however will be effectively treated using active drug afterwards. At the end of the study all infected children will be dewormed. Expelled worms will be collected. Treatment of diarrhoeal illness and general medical care will be provided free of cost to the study subjects.

I also understand that I always preserve the right to have my child join in the study or withdraw from the study at any time.

I agree that my child be included into the study.

Signature of Investigator: ____________________________

Signature/Left Thumb impression of patient's Guardian.

Date: __________
(দৈর্ঘ্য পূর্ণ উপর গুণিত চিত্র চিত্রীকার প্রকাণ)

প্রচুঃ পর

আমি অবগত হইয়াছি যে আমার সমুদায় (ফেলি বা পেলে) পেট কৃষি (ফিক্স) দুর্যোগ আপনাঁ হইয়াছে (নমন কথা চর কৃষি)। এই গবেষণা একটি অংশ গ্রহণ করিয়া আমাদের সমুদায়ের প্রশিক্ষণ প্রদর্শন করা হইবে। এবং গবেষণা বোঝানোর ক্ষেত্রে তার কারণ কৃষি বিশ্বাসনের উপর দোষযুক্ত হইবে। যদি আপাত সুন্দর কনস্ট্রাসের এখন পড়ে ধরে থাকে প্রদর্শন চাই যার একাধিক ব্যাপার উপর দোষযুক্ত হইবে, কৃষির উপর বাবুর লোক কিন্তু নাই (PLACEBO)। চর বহমান নেবে সকলের দুর্যোগের সৃষ্টি চিত্রহীন করা হইবে। এক বৎসর পর গবেষণা পূর্ব হিসেবে সকলকেই উপরের পৃথিবীর করা হইবে। সমুদায়ের দৈর্ঘ্য উচ্চতা, ওজন ও পরিমাণ নিভিন্তানি অংশের পরিমাণ বৎসরে চার বছর তুলম হইবে। সমুদায়ের অন্য কোথা একাধিক ব্যাপার দেখা দিতে আরো বিনা যেতে চিত্রহীন করা হইবে। আমার সমুদায়ের প্রশিক্ষণ অংশগুলিতে চারা বা ব্যাপার সমৃদ্ধ আমার উপর নিয়ন্ত্রণ, করে।

ঈশ্বর করলে যে সেন সমুদায় পরিশোভন হলে আমার সমুদায়কে প্রভাবিত করে নিতে পারে।

আমার সমুদায় এই প্রশিক্ষণ অংশগুলির কথায় যদি আমি প্রশংসা ও ব্রহ্মান্দ প্রতি বিনা করিয়া।

সমুদায়ের নাম-----------------------
বিচার বার্ষিকী/সুবিদ্যা---------------------
ভারত---------------------