### ETHICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE, ICDDR.B.

cation of St tients retros c the ource a) I1 b) No	udy Giardiasis in an clinic in Dacca. Ban pective study appropriate answer to of Population: 1 subjects n-ill subjects	out- glade	) esh:	Suppor	ee Investigator (if any rting Agency (if Non-IC ct status: New Study Continuation with char	CDDR,B)
e of St tients retros c the ource a) I1 b) No	udy Giardiasis in an clinic in Dacca. Ban pective study appropriate answer to of Population: 1 subjects n-ill subjects	glade	sh:	Project (X )	ct status: New Study Continuation with char	specification of the second se
retros c the fource a) I1 b) No	clinic in Dacca, Ban pective study appropriate answer to of Population: 1 subjects n-ill subjects	glade	sh:	(X )	New Study Continuation with char	\n_a
e the ource a) I1 b) No	appropriate answer to of Population: 1 subjects n-ill subjects	······································		( )		\ <b>A</b> A
e the ource a) I1 b) No	appropriate answer to of Population: 1 subjects n-ill subjects	each	 1 of	( )		•
ource a) Il b) No	of Population: l subjects n-ill subjects	each	of	ويبر وأحياه والمستراط الأفاد والطارة	No change (do not fil)	out rest of form)
(a) I1 (b) No	l subjects n-ill subjects			the fo	llowing (If Not Applica	ible write NA).
(b) No	n-ill subjects			5.	Will signed consent for	= 1
-		(Yes)	No		(a) From subjects	Yes No No
C I M'1		Yes	No		(b) From parent or gu	marorom ( ,
	nors or persons				(if subjects are	
	der guardianship	Yes	No	6.	<b>.</b>	
	e study involve:				anonymity of subjects	ies no j
	ysical risks to the			7.		submitted herewith to
	bjects	Yes	(NO)		Committee:	
•	cíal Risks	Yes	(No)		Umbrella proposal	l - Initially submit a
c) Ps	ychological risks			•		her requirements will
	subjects	Yes	(NO)			h <b>individual</b> studies).
d) Di	scomfort to subjects	Yes	No		'x Protocol (Require	
e) In	vasion of privacy		(NO		X Abstract Summary	=
	sclosure of informa-		-			or read to subjects or
	on damaging to sub-					risks, types of ques
	ct or others	Yes	NA			, and right to refuse
	e study involve:		···			r withdraw (Required)
	e of records, (hosp-			-		form for subjects
	al, medical, death,				- The state of the	
	rth or other)	(Yes)	No			form for parent or
	e of fetal tissue or	(163)	140		guardian	
	ortus	Vaa			<del></del>	intaining confidential
	e of organs or body	Yes	(No)		ity	
	uids	12	<b>(</b> )			interview schedule *
		Yes	(No)		* If the final instru	
re sub	jects clearly informe	d abo	ut:			e following information
	ture and purposes of		\			in the abstract summan
	udy	Yes	No 3	1	1. A description of	f the areas to be
	ocedures to be		1	· ·	covered in the	questionnaire or
	llowed including		. !		interview which	could be considered
		Yes	No	AN		e or which would
	ysical risks	Yes	No	fail.	<ul> <li>constitute an in</li> </ul>	nvasion of privacy.
d) Sei	nsitive questions	Yes	No	_		type of specific
	nefits to be derived	Yes	No '	7		asked in the sensitiv
	ght to refuse to			1	areas.	
pa	rticipate or to with-			1.	3. An indication as	s to when the question
	aw from study	Yes	No	1		resented to the Cttee.
g) Coi	nfidential handling			1	for review.	
	data	Yes	No	j .	≕ लंबा । कप्रकाष्ट्र	
h) Cor	mpensation 6/or treat	*				
	nt where there are ri					
	privacy is involved					
	y particular procedur		S N	0		
					ew Committee for any c	

olving the rights and welfare of subjects before making such change.

Principal Investigator

50-049(P) Receid, 30.12.80

### SECTION I - RESEARCH PROTOCOL

1. Title:

Gardiasis in an outpatients clinic in Dacca, Bangladesh; a retrospective study

2. Principal Investigator:

Dr. Pieter Speelman

Co Investigator:

Dr. Majid Molla

3. Starting Date:

December 1980

4. Completion Date:

January 1981

5. Total Direct Cost:

6. Scientific Program Head:

This protocol has been approved by the Pathogenesis and The

Working Group.

Signature of Scientific Program Head:

Date

7. Abstract Summary:

The purpose of this limited study is to review the charts of patients, visiting the outpatients department of ICDDR,B from January the first till December 31st 1980. The available data will be collected from all patients with giardia cysts and or trophozoites in their stools.

The reason for examining these data is to describe the population of patients with giardiasis, to find out which concomitant parasitic infections they have, to explore if there is any specific stoolpicture and to find out if there is any seasonal variation.

## SECTION II - RESEARCH PLAN

## A. INTRODUCTION

# 1. Objective:

The objective of this retrospective study is to learn more about giardiasis among the patients consulting the outpatients clinic of ICDDR,B.

#### BACKGROUND:

Glardia Lamblia is a cosmopolitan parasite with worldwide distribution. Incidences vary between 2 and 25 to 30 percent 1) Frequently the incidence rate is under-estimated because only a single stool specimen is examined.

Most patients harbouring this parasite are asymptomatic; in tropical countries, but also in moderate climates.

Giardiasis has become a common parasitic cause of water-borne outbreaks of disease. Beavers have been incriminated as an animal reservoir. Food and drinks, prepared with contaminated water, are probably frequent sources of infection. High rates of infection are found among children in nurseries and institutions most likely a result of hand to mouth transmission<sup>2</sup>)

During the last years increasing clinical and epidemiological evidence has been produced that <u>G. Lamblia</u> may be an important pathogen in man. Why some people develop symptoms and others do not, is not understood.

The incubation period of symptomatic giardiasis is usually about 2 weeks. The most common complaint is diarrhoea (sometimes with explosive onset), or loose stools which may be bulky foul-smelling and are often passed only in the mornings.

The stools may contain mucus, but blood and pus are absent. Other symptoms include abdominal distention and discomfort (upper epigastric

cramps), weakness anorexia, nausea, vomiting, flatulence, weightloss, depression and in children failure to thrive. The acute stage may last from a few days to several months. Some people may have subacute symptoms lasting for months or years<sup>3</sup>).

Parasitologic confirmation of the diagnosis may be difficult. Stool examination can give false negative results. The presence of <u>G. Lamblia</u> - cysts or trophozoites-may be irregular and unpredictable<sup>4)</sup>.

Intermittent passage of parasites in the stool may be related to periods of active multiplication<sup>5)</sup>. Probably related to the intermittent passage of parasites is the fact that examination of stools on alternate days has provided an increased yield of positive specimens. Stool examination using a concentration method will kill the trophozoites. A false negative result can be obtained if the stool contains these forms only.

One stool examination only is diagnostic in  $50^6$ - $76^5$ %. Utilizing direct smeer and formol-ether concentration-tests 76% were positive on the first specimen, 90% were confirmed with two specimens and 97% of the cases were determined to be positive with three specimens belays of several months between the onset of symptoms and the diagnosis are common 7.

Studies from a number of centers have reported malabsorption with giardiasis d-Xylose and fat-malabsorption have been reported from India  $^{8)}$  9) and North America  $^{10)}$  11) 12) 13).

In overland travellers with symptomatic giardiasis impaired Xylose and fat absorption has been shown in 58% and 38% of patients but unlike other groups, they found abnormal absorption of Vit  $B_{12}$  in 50% of patients  $^{14}$ .

Abnormalities of the jejunal mucosa are found in association with malabsorption. 15)

The extent to which secretory immunoglobulin contributes to eradication is not known, but it has been recognized for some time <sup>16)</sup> that deficiency of humoral activity is associated with an increased prevalence of giardiasis, suggesting that immunoglobulin is important.

However in generally healthy individuals, giardiasis is not etiologically related to relative immunoglobulin deficiencies <sup>17)</sup>. Hypochlorhydria or achlorhydria have been shown to facilitate Gardia infections <sup>18)</sup>.

Serum antibody to <u>G. Lamblia</u> has been found <sup>19)</sup> <sup>20)</sup> <sup>21)</sup> showing that parasite antigen is absorbed through the intestinal epithelium. There is still doubt if and how often giardia invades the tissue. Possibly cell-mediated hypersensitivity may contribute to mucosal damage.

In giardiasis, like in tropical sprue, colonization of the small intestine with enterobacteria has been found<sup>22</sup>).

At this moment several drugs are used in the treatment of giardiasis, quinacrine, metronidazol, furazolidine and tinidazol.

### B. SPECIFIC AIMS:

- To describe the population (number, age, sex) of patients with giardiasis, diagnosed at the outpatients clinic.
- To find out how many times these patients have giardiasis only and how many times there are concomitant parasitic infections.
- 3. To explore if there is any seasonal variation.
- 4. To correlate a quantitative assessment of cysts and br trophozoites in the stools (+ -> 4 +) with the stoolpicture (WBC, RBC, blood, mucus, pH).

### C. METHODS OF PROCEDURE

- I. Review of charts.
  - The charts, made by the laboratory during ME-stool examinations, will be reviewed to find the patients with giardiasis.
- 2. From these charts available data will be recorded in the data sheet, attached with the protocol for entering onto computer discs, so that questions can be asked for interrelationships and interpretations.

### D. SIGNIFICANCE

Examination of charts in this retrospective review will provide valuable information on the magnitude of the problem of giardia infections among the patients consulting our outpatients clinic.

Probably this study will form the basis for a new prospective study of giardiasis in the near future.

#### REFERENCES

- Hunter G. W. Et al. Tropical Medicine 5th edition 1976
- 2. Black R.E. et al. Pediatrics 60 486 1977
- Wright S.G. et al. Gut 18 343 1977
- Daneiger M. et al.
   Am. Y. Trop. Med. Hyg. 24 237 1975
- 5. Wolfe M.S. J.A.M.A. 233 1362 1975
- Kameth K.R.
   Gastroenterology 6 16 1974
- 7. Eastham E.J. et al. Lancet 2 950 1976
- Antia Et al.
   Indian J. of Med. Sei. 20 471 1966
- 9. Tewari et al. Indian J. of Med. Res. 62 689 1974
- 10. Yardley et al. Bulletin of the Johns Hopkins Hospital 115 389 1964
- Moore et al.
   New Engl. J. of Med. 281 402 1969
- 12. Ament et al. Castroenterology 62 215 1972
- Sheehey et al.
   J.A.M.A. 233 1373 1975
- 14. Wright S.G. et al. 1977<sup>a</sup>
- 15. Wright S.G. et al. Exerpta Medica 98 1977<sup>b</sup>
- Mermans et al.
   Am. J. of Med. 40 78 1966

- 17. Jones E. G. et al.
  Am. J. Dig. DM. 19 731 1974
- 18. Gianella R.A. et al.
  Ann. Inter. Med. 78 271 1973
- 19. Halita et al.
  Andealul medical 6 154 1946
- 20. Radulescu et al. Proc 3 Int. Congr. Parasitology 1974
- 21. Ridley et al. J. Clin. Pathology 29 30 1976
- 22. Tomkins et al.
  Transactions Royal Society 72 33 1978

## SECTION III - BUDGET

## PERSONNEL SERVICES:

				Jala	17
	Name	Position	% Effort	<u>Taka</u>	Dollars
1.	Dr. P. Speelman	Investigator	$25\% \times 2$ mths	-	1016
2.	Dr. M. Molla	Co Inverstigator	10% x 2 mths	• -	350
3.		Statistician	$10\% \times 2$ mths	2000	-
4.		Coding Assistant	100% x 2 mths	4000	-
5.		Clerk	$20\% \times 2 \text{ mths}$	620	-
			Sub Total :	6620	1366

# BUDGET SUMMARY

	Category	Taka	Dollar
1.	Personnel	6620	1360
2.	Supplies	Ni1	~
3.	Equipment	Nil	
4.	Hospitalization cost	Nil	ala v
5.	Travel	Nil	
6.	Animal Resources	. Nil	***
7.	Logistic Support	Nil	-
8.	Printing / Reproduction	Nil	-
9.	Computer Service 10 hours	10,000	_
10.	Key Punch	2,000	<del></del>
	Total :	18,620	1360

Tk. 18,620 = US \$ 1240

Total US dollars 2600

### Data Sheet

Information Card plan Code list Study No. 1 3 1 / / / / / 9 1. Date day month year 2. Patient No. 3. Age day month year 2 female 4. Sex 5. Location Consistency Watery liquid 3 loose 4 soft formed 5 not available 9 7. pH 1 alkaline 8. Blood No blood trace positive 9. Mucus No mucus

> trace 1 + 2 +

10.	WBC range (number)	lower limit	upper limit	<u>/ / / /</u> / 32 34	<del>/ / / /</del> <del>35</del> <del>37</del>
11.	RBC range (nimber)	lower limit	upper limit	<del>/ / / /</del> / 38 40	<u>/ / / /</u> 41 43
12.	Macrophage (number)	lower limit	upper limit	<u>/ /</u>	45
13.	Giardia	cysts Not pr	esent 9		
		+	1		
		1 +	2	•	<u>/_/</u>
		2 +	3		40
		3 +	5		
		4 +	5		
		trophozoites not pr	<u>s</u> resent 9		•
	•	•	1		
•		1 +	3		
		2 +	3	•	
	·	3 +	4		47
		4 +	. 5		
14.	Entamoeba hystolit	cica veg. $\frac{1}{\text{yes}}$	$\frac{2}{no}$		<u>/_/</u> /
·	Entemoeba hystoli	tica veg+RBC 1 yes	$\frac{2}{no}$		<u>/</u> /
	Entomoeba hystoli	tica cysts 1 yes	2 no		<u>/</u> /

17.	Entaboeba Coli veg.	$\frac{1}{\text{yes}}$	$\frac{2}{\text{no}}$	51
18.	Entamoeba Coli cyst	1 yes	2 no	<u>/</u> /
19.	Trichomonos hominis	$\frac{1}{\text{yes}}$	$\frac{2}{no}$	<u>/_/</u>
20.	Helminths	absent	1	

strongyloides larvae

others

# 21. Ova

Ascaris lumbricoides	1_	2	<u>/_/</u> /
	yes	no	55
Trichuris trichiura	_1	2	<u>/ /</u>
	yes	no	56
Hookworm	1	2	/ /
	yes	no	57
Strongyloides stercoratis	1	2	1/
	yes	no	58
Hymenolepis nana	7	2	, ,
Hymenolepis nana	ves	· no	<del>/ 59</del> /

# 22. Neutral fat

absent	1	
1 +	2	
2 +	_3_	/_/
3 +	4	60
4 +	5	

<u>/\_/</u>