Cas liment, 1.	1 \$5 TO	Lichro	ry_	Date 31/7	195
ACE, SHEET) PRINCAL	D13/1190 22M			,)	
	INTER THE CON	am errien ^e Têr	DR, B ICDDR, E		
incipal investigator Md. Yur		Pa s	DHAK	A 1212	22
	ius	Trainee In	vestigator (if	any) *	
Nication No. $95-020$		Supporting	Anoncy (if Non	tonn n	
le of Study -		ool/hor critig	Agency (if Non	i-reportry VI	<u>H '</u>
le of Study Does disease due olerae Ol confer protection a	to Vibrio	_Project st	ntus:		. —
quent diarrhoea due to V. cho	agarner enn.	-{₩}) New	Study		
an analysis of the existing of		C) GOIL	inuation with c	hange	F.
all analysis of the existing t	iala.	() No c	hange (do not f	III out rest	of form)
cle the appropriate answer t			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······	
cle the appropriate answer to Source of Population:	o cach or	the followi	ng (If Not Appl	icable write !	NΛ).
(a) III subjects		2. 1111	signed consent	. form be requ	Tred:
(b) Non-ill subjects	Yes No	(a)	From subjects	Yes	
(c) Minors or persons	(103). 110	(в)	From parent or	guardian	
under guardianship	(Yes) No	6 + 10211	(if subjects a	re minors) Yes	s No NH
Does the study involve:		0. 11111	precautions be	taken to prof	tect 🖟 🍴 💎 🦠
(a) Physical risks to the	•	7. Chec	ymity of subjec	ts Yes	s No TNA
Bubjects	Yes No t	VA Commi	k documents bei	ng submitted 1	icrewith to
(b) Social Risks	Yes No t				
(c) Psychological risks	,		Umbrella propo	sar - Inttiati) Submit ar
to subjects	Yes No 1	4V	overview (all a	orner requiren	ients will
(d) Discomfort to subjects	Yes No N		be submitted w Protocol (Requ	uun inatvianat tooli	studies).
(c) invasion of privacy	Yes No. 1		Abstract Summa	nu (Daniela de	
(f) Disclosure of Informa-	1		Abstract Summa	ry (Redutrea)	,
tion damaging to sub-	1:		Statement given	n or read to s	objects on
icct or others	Yes No 1	NA .	nature of study	y, risks, type	s of quest.
Does the study involve:	1		ions to be ask	ca, and right	to refuse
(a) Use of records, (hosp-			to participate	or witharak (Required)
ital, medical, death,		 :	Informed conser	it torm for su	bjects
hirth or other)	(Yes) No		Informed conserguardian	it form for pa	rent or 🕒
(b) Hise of fetal tissue or		•			1
abortus	Yes (No)		Procedure for a ity	maintaining co	nfidential-
(c) Use of organs or body					
Cluids	Yes (No)	* i f	Questionnaire of the final instr	DI THECKAGE S	chedule *
Are subjects clearly informe	ed ábout:	pri	or to review, t	the following	completed
THE BUTTER AND PUTPOSES OF	•	sho	uld be included	The the elec-	intermation
study	Yes No 🖍	IA 1.	A description	of the ansur-	act summary
(b) Procedures to be			covered in the	or the areas	to be
followed including		_	interview which	sh could be see	e or
alternatives used	Yes No 🔨	1 A	either sensiti	ve or which w	nsidered
(c) Thysical risks (d) Sensitive questions	Yes No N	/ A:	constitute an	invariou of w	901d # t
dictions	Yes No A		Examples of th	ic type of succ	rivacy.
TO BE GO INC.	Yes No V	V A	questions to b	e asked in the	alit O consitium
The volume (t)			areas.	- make in the	a sensitive
participate or to with- draw from study		3.	An indication	as to when the	c mucetion
(g) Confidential handling	Yes No N	4.14	naire will be	presented to t	- question-
(g) Confidential handling of data		. 10	for review.		and metal.
(h) Compensation W/or treat	Yes No 1	VH		•	
ment where there are ri				,	
or privacy is involved	SKS				
any particular procedur	10 :	N/ D			
any particular procedur	C .168 NO	CALL .			
gree to obtain approval of t lying the rights and welfare	he Ethical	Review Com	milton for		1 · · ·
lying the rights and welfare	of subject	s before m	micure for any	changes	. !
Mus		COLORO ME	reving such chant	ge.	•
				•	
Frincipal Investigator	:		11' 5- 7	aince	
	1		1 (;	rende	
,		A ADIAT	7 0		
i .	:	A - 03195	60	:	•

1. Investigators

: Md. Yunus, John Clemens*, K Zaman

2. Title of Project

: Does disease due to Vibrio cholerae 01 confer protection against subsequent diarrhoea due to Vibrio cholera 0139 ? - an analysis of the existing data.

3. Starting date

: As soon as the protocol approved

4. Date of completion

: 12 months from the start of the

protocol

5. Total Budget Required : US\$ 10,000/-

6. Funding souce

: National Institute of Health

7. Division Director

: Division Director

Community Health Division

*National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institute of Health, USA

ABSTRACT

Recently a non-01 Vibrio cholerae organism designated as V. cholerae 0139 has emerged as a cause of epidemics of cholera-like diarrhoeal disease in India and Bangladesh, and has spread to several neighbouring countries with potential for pandemic. The disease has primarily affected adults which suggests that the population lacked natural immunity to this organism. A case-control study is proposed to assess whether an initial treated episode of diarrhoea due to V.cholerae 01 was associated with a reduced risk of subsequent treated episode of diarrhoea due to V.choleare 0139, during a period of up to ten years following the initial episodes. "Cases" be Matlab DSS residents with diarrhoea associated with Matlab diarrhoea V.cholerae 0139, detected in o f treatment centre during 1993 and 1994. "Controls" will be subject who did not develop this outcome during 1993 and 1994, and will be matched to the cases by age, sex, neighbourhood (bari) of residence at the time that the case became ill, and duration of residence in Matlab.

for t he comparative contrasted w111 be Cases Controls and occurrence of an earlier episode of treated \underline{V} . $\underline{cholerae}$ 01 diarrhoea during the period 1983-92 using odds ratios appropriate for matched data. 95% confidence intervals will be estimated for these odds ratios using test-based methods, or exact techniques when mandated by sparse data. Adjustment of the crude odds ratios for potentially confounding variables that are unequally distributed between cases undertaken with conditional will be controls regression modes, which are designed to account for the matched selection strategy of cases and controls. 95% confidence intervals for these adjusted odds ratios will be estimated with use of standard errors of the coefficients. The results of this study would have important implications for future cholera vaccine development and cholera control.

8. STUDY OBJECTIVES:

a) Primary

1. To assess whether an initial treated episode of diarrhoea due to \underline{V} . cholerae 01 was associated with a reduced risk of a subsequent treated episode of diarrhoea due to \underline{V} . cholerae 0139, during a period of up to ten years following the initial episode.

b) Secondary

- (i) To evaluate whether the relationship in 1) was modified by the biotype of the initial episode.
- (ii) To ascertain whether the relationship in 1) was modified by the recency of the initial episode.
- (iii) To assess whether the relationship in 1) was modified by the age at the time of the initial episode

9. SIGNIFICANCE

Cholera is a major public health problem in Bangladesh and many other developing countries. Epidemics of cholera due to <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 01 regularly occur in Bangladesh leading to significant morbidity and mortality. The appearance of the new serogroup of cholera designated as <u>V.cholerae</u> 0139 in late part of 1992 has posed an additional threat to life. The <u>V.cholerae</u> 0139 outnumbered the <u>V.cholerae</u> 01 during 1993 but in 1994 <u>V.cholerae</u> 01 took the lead again although both types were simultaneously present. That the <u>V.cholerae</u> 0139 has predominantly affected the adult population indicates no cross protection offered by <u>V.cholerae</u> 01 against 0139 cholera. This empiric observation needs to be scientifically validated which would have important implications for future cholera vaccine development and cholera control. The proposed study is expected to contribute in this regard.

10. ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS

The proposed study would use routinely collected hospital data on cholera patients at Matlab Diarrhoea Treatment Centre, data of 1985 cholera vaccine trial project and some demographic information from the existing Matlab DSS records.

No new data collection is planned for this project. Therefore, there is no ethical questions involved in this study.

11. BACKGROUND, RESEARCH PLAN AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

Background

In October 1992 an epidemic of a cholera-like diarrhoeal illness due to a non-01 Vibrio cholerae organism was recognized in Madras, India. During the ensuing six months, this epidemic spread with great rapidity to both the east and west coasts of India, and to both coastal and inland areas of Bangladesh [1,2,3]. The course of the epidemic has been exceptionally aggressive resulting in large number of cases and deaths. By the end of March, 1993, Government of Bangladesh Epidemics Surveillance had reported 107,297 cases of diarrhoea and 1473 deaths (4). Moreover, during epidemic typical Vibrio cholerae 01 disease virtually disappeared, suggesting ecological displacement of Vibrio cholerae Of by the new epidemic organism. Microbiological analysis of the epidemic organism has revealed that it belongs to none of the 138 recognized Vibrio cholerae serotypes, though it produces cholera toxin identical to that produced by Vibrio cholerae O1 and in many respects resembles the El Tor biotype. This new organism has therefore been classified as Vibrio cholerae 0139 (Bengal) [3].

The descriptive epidemiological features of this illness have been evaluated by ICDDR, B scientists, both in the Dhaka treatment centre and in the Matlab field studies area. Perhaps the most noteworthy epidemiological features of the epidemic are that it has primarily affected adults (5,6). This pattern contrasts directly with the pattern noted for endemic cholera, for which the risk is highest for young children over two years of age, and adult females [7]. Because the latter pattern is thought to be in part due to age related acquisition of natural immunity from infections by V.cholerae 01, the pattern seen with V.cholerae 0139 suggests that the populations affected by the epidemic of V.cholerae 0139 lacked natural immunity to this organism, despite having considerable immunity to V.cholerae 01.

If this explanation for the age-related occurrence of <u>V.cholerae</u> 0139 is true, it suggests that infection by Y.cholerae 01 does not confer immunity against infection by V.cholerae 0139. It also suggests that available inactivated and live vaccines against V.cholerae 01 will not confer substantial protection against V.cholerae 0139 disease. Because an absence of cross-protection by V.cholerae 01 against V.cholerae 0139 would have extremely important ramifications for future cholera vaccine development, and because evidence for an absence of such protection is at present indirect, it is important that studies be undertaken to evaluate this possibility in a direct fashion.

Past work in the Matlab field studies area of ICDDR, B has demonstrated the value of assessing the immunizing potential of natural cholera episodes by evaluating the risk of recurrent episodes in relation to the risk of initial episodes [7,8].—

Such studies have demonstrated that natural \underline{V} . cholerae 01 disease is indeed immunizing against recurrent disease by this serotype, though the protection conferred by an initial episode of classical cholera may be greater than that conferred by an initial episode of El Tor cholera [8].

The present study will use the same approach to test whether an earlier episode of <u>V.cholerae</u> 01 diarrhoea was associated with a reduced risk of <u>V.cholerae</u> 0139 diarrhoea during the 1993 and 1994 epidemics in Matlab. The availability of the computerized demographic surveillance data of the Matlab population through the Matlab Demographic Surveillance System (DSS) [9], together with the long-term comprehensive surveillance system for cholera in Matlab hospital, make Matlab a uniquely appropriate site for performing this important study.

RESEARCH PLAN

Study Hypothesis:

We hypothesize that antecedent disease caused by \underline{V} . cholerae 01 provides no protection against subsequent diarrhoeal illness caused by \underline{V} . cholerae 0139 as adults have been primarily affected by \underline{V} . cholerae 0139.

METHODS:

This study would use routinely collected Hospital data on cholera patients at Matlab DTC, data of 1985 cholera Vaccine trail project and socio-demographic information from the existing Matlab DSS records.

i) Overview

This evaluation will be undertaken as a case-control study. "Cases" will be Matlab DSS residents with diarrhoea associated with isolation of V.cholerae 0139, detected in the Matlab treatment centre during 1993 and 1994. "Controls" will be subjects who did not develop this outcome during 1993 and 1994, and will be matched to the cases by age, sex, neighbourhood (bari) of residence at the time that the case became ill, and duration of residence in Matlab. be contrasted for the controls will occurrence of an earlier episode of treated \underline{V} . cholerae 01 diarrhoea during the period 1983-92. Because of the rarity of treated V. cholerae 0139 diarrhoea during 1993 and 1994, in relation to the total population at risk in Matlab (less than 5 cases per 1000), the odds ratio for the association will approximate the relative risk of V.cholerae 0139 diarrhoea in subjects with an earlier episode of treated V.cholerae 01 diarrhoea versus subjects lacking such a history. Thus, the expression [(1-odds ratio) X 100%)] will estimate the percentage protective efficacy conferred by an initial V.cholerae 01 episode.

ii) Definition and Selection of "Cases"

"Cases" will include any resident of the Matlab DSS area who presented for care of diarrhoeal episode from which V.cholerae 0139 was isolated. In this connection, diarrhoea will consist of a complaint of \geq 3 loose or liquid stools during the 24 hours before presentation for care at Matlab diarrhoeal treatment centre, or presentation for care of an illness with ≥1 or an unknown number of loose or liquid stools but with an impression of moderate to severe dehydration on initial clinical examination. Treatment "episodes" of diarrhoea will be demarcated by ≥7 days between the onset of diarrhoea before the presentation for care of diarrhoea and discharge for the previous treatment encounter. For each case the following information will be collected from the hospital sheet and books: Name, Current Identification number Registration Identification number (RID) date of birth, date of admission, sex, religion, clinical information regarding type of diarrhoea and its management, date of entry into DSS.

ili) Definition and Selection of "Controls" For each case, 3 "controls", matched to the case on the basis of age group, sex, bari (cluster of households) of residence, and duration of residence in the Matlab DSS area. In this connection, "age" will denote the age of the case in years on the day of presentation for care for the index episode, and the age of the three matched controls on the same date, and age groups will be demarcated into three groups: 0-4 years, 5-14 years, and 15+ years. "Bari of residence" will refer to the bari of residence on the date of presentation for care of the index episode for the case and the controls matched to the case. If three suitable matched controls cannot be found from the bari of residence of the case, then the next bari in the DSS census will be inspected; if three controls cannot be located in this way, the previous bari in the census will be inspected, and so on. Conversely, if more than three potentially eligible controls are identified in the bari of the case, three will be selected using a random number table. "Duration of residence" will refer to the duration of residence in the Matlab Demographic Surveillance area. Matching on this variable will be done on the basis of their duration of stay in the Matlab DSS area i.e controls will include only those persons who have resided in the DSS area for at least as long as the matched case. All controls for the study will be unique (e.g., will be sampled without replacement).

iv) Ascertainment of Earlier V.cholerae 01 Episodes

All microbiologically confirmed episodes of \underline{V} . Cholerae 01 diarrhoed detected among DSS residents at the Matlab treatment centre between January 1, 1983 and December 31, 1992 will be computerized (such episodes are already computerized for the interval January 14, 1985 – June 30, 1990). Such episodes will be defined with criteria identical to those for \underline{V} . Cholerae 0139 diarrhoea (vide supra),

except for the stipulation that \underline{V} . cholerae 01, of either biotype and of either serotype, was isolated.

Linkage of these histories of earlier episodes to cases and controls will be performed by an automated; computerized algorithm, according to the unique registration identification number assigned by the Matlab DSS. As a secondary confirmation, it will be ascertained that the age noted on routine clinical examination at the time of the initial episodes corresponded to the DSS age of the case or control at that time within the following categories (0-4 years, 5-14 years, 15+ years) and that the patient treated for the initial episode was of the same gender as the linked case or control.

A case or control will be considered "exposed" to an earlier episode of \underline{V} . $\underline{cholerae}$ 01 diarrhoea if these three linkage criteria (RID, age category, gender) are fulfilled.

v) Analysis of the Data

Cases will be contrasted with controls for the occurrence of an earlier episode of <u>V.cholerae</u> 01 diarrhoea using odds ratios appropriate for matched data. During analysis we shall censor antecedent histories of Cholera in the controls to the duration of residence of the matched case. For example, if the case had resided in the DSS area for only five years before the episode of 0139 Cholera, histories of Cholera for controls matched to the case would only be analyzed for the five years prior to the date of admission of the case (regardless of how long the controls had been resident in the DSS area)

With this strategy, it will not be necessary to exclude cases under 10 years of age or persons who have migrated in after 1983. As already noted, these odds ratios will approximate the risk ratios of subsequent <u>V.cholerae</u> 0139 diarrhoea in persons with versus those without an earlier episode of <u>V.cholerae</u> 01 diarrhoea, 95% confidence intervals will be estimated for these odds ratios using test-based methods, or exact techniques when mandated by sparse data [10]. Analysis of distinctions in associations created by the biotype of the initial episode, as well as by the recency of the initial episode and the age at the time of the initial episode, will employ conventional techniques for evaluating trends of odds ratios in case-control studies [10].

Adjustment of the crude odds ratios for potentially confounding variables that are unequally distributed between cases and controls will be undertaken with conditional logistic regression models, which are designed to account for the matched selection strategy of cases and controls [10]. In these models, case-control status is taken as the dependent variable, and exposure to an earlier episode (coded as a yes/no binary variable) and other covariates are fitted as independent variables. The exponential of the coefficient for the exposure variable in such models represents the odds ratio for the association, adjusted for other independent variables included in the model. 95% confidence intervals for these adjusted odds ratios will be estimated with use of the standard errors of the coefficients.

vi) Sample size Justification

This study is framed as an "equivalence study", in that we suspect that an initial episode of V.cholerae 01 diarrhoea will confer no subsequent episode of V.cholerae protection against a we fail to find a protective predicted. association between these two infections, we want the results of the study to exclude the possibility of substantial protection with reasonable confidence. Accordingly, the method of Blackwelder [11], adapted to case-control studies, is used with the following assumptions: 1) 2% of controls will have had an episode of treated V.cholerae 01 diarrhoea during the 10 years before selection (12); and 2) given no true difference in the prevalence of antecedent V.cholerae 01 episodes in cases vs. controls, we wish the onetailed 95% confidence interval for the overall odds ratio to exclude \geq 80% protection with \geq .8 power. The sample needed to meet these assumptions is 626 cases, with 3 controls for each case, for a total of $626 \times 4 = 2504$ subjects.

12. BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- 1. Albert M, Siddique A, Islam M, et al. Large outbreak of clinical cholera due to <u>Vibrio</u> <u>cholerae</u> non-01 in Bangladesh (Letter). Lancet. 1993; 341:704
- 2. Shimada T, Nair G. Deb B, et al. Outbreak of <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> non-01 in India and Bangladesh (Letter).Lancet. 1993; 341:1347.
- 3. Cholera working group, International Cetre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh. Large epidemic of cholera-like disease in Bangladesh caused by <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 0139 synonym Bengal. Lancet 1993; 342: 387-90.
- 4. Government of Bangladesh; Control Room for Epidemic Surveillance, 1993.
- 5. Mahalanabis D, Faruque ASG, Albert MJ, Salam MA, Hoque SS. An epidemic of cholera due to \underline{V} . Cholerae 0139 in Dhaka, Bangladesh: Clinical and epidemiological features. Epidemiol Infect 1994; 112:463-71.
- 6. Yunus Md, Zaman K, Khan EH, Chowdhury HR, Rahman A, Alam DS, Hoque E. Surveillance of <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 0139 patients attending a rural diarrhoea treatment centre.

 Abstract In: Programme and Abstracts of the Fourth Annual Scientific Conference of ICDDR, B, Dhaka, Bangladesh 1995:3.
- 7. Glass R, Becker S, Hug M, Stoll B, Khan M, Merson M, Lee J, Black, R. Endemic cholera in rural Bangladesh, 1966-80. Amer J Epidemiol. 1982; 116: 959-70.
- 8. Clemens JD, Van Loon FPL, Sack DA, Rao MR, Ahmed F, Chakraborty J, Kay BA, Khan MR, Yunus M, Harris JR, Svennerholm A-M, Holmgren J. Biotype as a determinant of the natural immunizing effect of V.cholerae 01. Lancet. 1991; 337:883-4.
- 9. Ruzicka L, Chowdhury A, Demographic Surveillance System, Matlab. Vol 2. Dhaka: Cholera Research Laboratory, 1978 (Scientific Report no.10).
- 10. Breslow N, Day N. Statistical Methods in Cancer Research. Volume 1: The Analysis of Case-Control Studies. IARC Scientific Publications No.32. Lyon: 1980.
- 11. Blackwelder V. "Proving the null hypothesis" in clinical trials. Controlled Clin Trials. 1982; 3: 345-53.
- 12. Yunus M, Siddiqure AK, Sack RB, Epidemiology of Cholera in Bangladesh. The proceedings of the 28th joint conference, U.S Japan co-operative Medical Science program, Cholera and related diarrhoeal discase panel, Tokyo, July 20 21, 1992, pp 70 75

BUDGET

00
00
00
00

Miscellaneous expenses

500.00 Total: 10,000.00

36/7/95

Page 1 (of 2)

Title: Does disease due to <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 01 Confer Protection against subsequent diarrhoea due to <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 0139?

- an analysis of the existing data.

Summary of Referee's Opinions: Please see the following table to evaluate the various aspects of the proposal by checking the appropriate boxes. Your detailed comments are sought on a separate, attached page.

Rank Score

High Medium Low

Quality of Project

Adequacy of Project Design

Suitability of Methodology

Feasibility within time period

Appropriateness of budget

Potential value of field of knowledge

CONCLUSION	<u>s</u>			
I support	the application:			
	a) without qualification			
	b) with qualification			
	 on technical grounds 			
_	on level of financial support			
I do <u>not</u> s	support the application			
Name of Re	eferee:			
Signature:Date:				
Position: Institution	on:			

Detailed Comments

Reviewer No.1

Comments on the protocol "Does disease due to Vibrio ... "

The project has a well defined objection, an appropriate methodology and a clear rationale/significance. The budget is low since it uses existing data. There are nevertheless a few technical aspects that need improvement. In particular:

- 1. Cases cannot be "any resident of the Matlab DSS area...." In particular they must have been alive and residing in the DSS in 1983; otherwise their exposure status is unknown. Note that this rules out children under 10 and inmigrants since 1983. The investigators can check these variables for potential cases first.
- 2. Use of some SES data would seem appropriate. In particular, water source and toilet facility available to the household. Others are also available I believe.
- 3. The covariates of residence in village abutting a river or with a weekly bazaar are wrong because village is matched!
- 4. The sample size text is terse. In particular:
- a) What is the reference for level of .02b) The formula is different if there are 3 controls per case.See text of Fleiss.

A minor comment. It seems inappropriate to have 18 months time for the Health Asst. if the others are only working 12 months on the project. Or does this involve two Health Assts? Clarify.

Page 1 (of 2)

Title:

Does disease due to <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 01 confer protection against subsequent diarrhoea due to <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> 0139? - an analysis of the existing data

Summary of Referee's Opinions: Please see the following table to evaluate the various aspects of the proposal by checking the appropriate boxes. Your detailed comments are sought on a separate, attached page.

Rank Score

	High	Medium	Low
Quality of Project		×	
Adequacy of Project Design		×	
Suitability of Methodology		X	
Feasibility within time period	X		
Appropriateness of budget	X		
Potential value of field of knowledge		×	

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CONCLUSIONS			
I support the application:			•
a) without qualification			. 1/-
b) with qualification		χ	see letter
- on technical grounds			
- on level of financial support			
I do <u>not</u> support the application			`
Name of I			
Signature			
Position:			
Instituti Detailed Position:			
Detailed M. Pw			

June 27, 1995

Dear Dr Aziz:

This is in response to your request for my review of the protocol "Does disease due to Vibrio cholerae O1 confer protection against subsequent diarrhoea due to Vibrio cholerae O139? an analysis of the existing data."

This is a case control study in which the cases will be those who developed cholera due to O139 and the controls will be age matched persons from the same or nearby bari's who did not. The crucial analysis will be to determine if the controls had a higher rate of previous O1 cholera, suggesting that they were protected from the O139 epidemic. In general I find this to be an excellent proposal which will yield important information, though the evidence is already strong that one infection does not protect against the other serotype. My only suggestions are to consider two points in the analytical strategy.

1. Based on my reading of the sample size calculations which use one tailed assumptions, the analysis does not consider the possibility that previous O1 cholera might actually increase the risk for O139 cholera. If, for a moment, we assume that there is no biological protective effect from an earlier O1 episode on the risk of a subsequent O139 episode, there could in fact be an observation of increased rates of O139 in persons who previously had O1, using the methods described in this study. This is possible if, for example, other risk factors such as low gastric acid, blood type, poor hygiene practices, or other unknown risk factors, placed an individual at higher risk of cholera in general. Then the individual would be at high risk of O1 and also at higher risk of O139, than his neighbors who do not have this risk factor. One of these factors (blood type) may be known from the data set, but others may not be known.

June 27, 1995

In other words, the predisposing factors for cholera are highly correlated with both serotypes and would tend to show that O1 increased the risk of O139. By contrast, the potential immunological protection (if it exists between O1 and O139) would decrease the risk for O139 if O1 had occurred previously. Which of these competing risks will be predominant and will this analysis be able to dissect these risks?

2. The age groups 0 - 4 may be a problem. This is a narrow age group in years, but a wide one in terms of risk. The period from 0-2 has nearly no risk, then it goes to maximum risk (for 01 cholera) from age 2 - 4. Lumping these two diverse age groups will likely dilute any effect or at least lead to possible misinterpretation.

I hope this review is helpful.

RESPONSES TO EXTERNAL REVIEWERS' COMMENTS

Responses to External Revelwer No. 1 (Responses are in order of the reviewer's points):

- 1) The reviewer is correct in asserting that cases and controls must have equal durations of pre-selection residence in Matlab: if cases and controls differ in this respect, their opportunity to have had earlier episodes of \underline{V} . cholerae 01 diagnosed would also differ, creating a potential bias in the assessment. However, in contrast to the reviewer's statement, it is not necessary that cases and controls have a complete 10-year antecedent residence in Matlab for ascertaining histories of earlier cholera episodes. It is only necessary that the period of antecendant residence be equal for the case and the controls matched to the case. We now have modified our selection strategy to includde only those controls who have resided in Matlab for at least as long as the matched case (see p-6: Definition and selection of controls); we also have now modified our analytic strategy to censor antecedent histories of cholera in the controls to the duration of residence of the matched case (see p-7: Analysis of the data). For example, if the case had resided in Matlab for only five years before the episode of 0139 cholera, histories of cholera for controls matched to this case would only be analyzed for the five years prior to the date of admission of the case (regardless of how long the controls had been resident in Matlab). With this strategy, it will not be necessary to exclude cases under 10 years of age or persons who migrated in after 1983.
- 2) The published literature on cholera in Matlab (see Glass et al. cholera in rural Bangladesh, American Journal Epidemiology. 1982; 116: 959 - 70) identifies only age, sex, religion, residence near a river, and residence near a bazar as sociodemographic determinants of the risk of cholera. Source of (eg, presence of a tubewell) has been a notoriously disappointing variable in terms of its ability to predict the risk of cholera. Similarly, site of defecation has not proved to be a useful predictive variable in analyses of cholera risk in analyses of data from the 1985 field trial of oral cholera vaccines in Matlab (clemens, unpublished data). In any event, since cases and controls will be matched on bari of residence, this matching strategy per se should closely match cases and controls for virtually all socioeconomic and hygienic characteristics.
- 3) We agree that the matching strategy for cases and controls will automatically match the two groups for residence near a river and residence near a bazar (just as this strategy will match the two groups for other sociodemographic characteristics see our response to the reviewer's comment # 2). Therefore, we have omitted this section.

4) The reference for a prevalence of earlier 01 cholera of .02 is based on the fact that the average annual incidence of 01 cholera during the period in question (1983 ~ 93) was about 3 cases 1,000 per year (see glass et al. Ref. # 7, and Yunus et al. Ref. # 12). 3/1,000 multiplied by 10 years yields a prevalence of 3%; to account for the minority of cases having less than 10 years residence in Matlab (see our response to reviewer's comment # 1), we reduced this prevalence to 2% in our calculation of sample size. Our sample size calculation is based on the formula for equivalence studies provided by Blackwelder and cited in the references; our calculation takes into account the use of 3 controlos per case. The inclusion of the additional formula in the text of our original protocol was in error, sice it is a formula designed for studies that seek to detect differences, rather than equivalence, which is the hypothesis of our study. The additional formula has now been omitted from the text. The text of Fleiss recommended by the reviewer is similarly inappropriate, since it only provides sample size formulas for studies that are designed to detect differences.

Sr. Health Assistant's time has been shown as 18 person-month. More than one Sr. Health Assistant will be involved.

Responses to Reviewer No. 2 (Responses are in order of the reviewer's points):

1) The reviewer perceptively suggests that unmeasured risk factors may be shared by 01 and 0139 infections, tending to create a direct risk rather than a protective association between them. We agree that this is a potential limitation of our analysis since we do not have information about such potentially confounding variables as ABO blood group and gastric acidity. However, we would point out that this same limitation has applied to past studies in Matlab protection whether 01 infections confer addressing consistently demonstrated analyses have reinfections. Such protective associations, despite this potential bias suggesting that the mgnitude of the bias is likely to be minimal.

We will include a discussion of this potential limitation in the discussion section of the paper describing the findings of this study.

2) The reviewer's concern will be addressed by controlling for exact age in multivariate analyses to remove the residual confounding of using too broad an age range for selection of young children.