AN EVALUATION OF THE ICDDR,B TRAINING PROGRAMME: DIARRHOEAL DISEASE EPIDEMIC CONTROL

127

A. S. G. Faruque Abu Eusof Bejoy R. Saha Charlene B. Dale



INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR DIARRHOEAL DISEASE RESEARCH, BANGLADESH

Dhaka, Bangladesh
April 1985
Special Publication No. 21

AN EVALUATION OF THE ICDDR, B TRAINING PROGRAMME: DIARRHOEAL DISEASE EPIDEMIC CONTROL

A.S.G. Faruque¹
Abu Eusof²
Bejoy R. Saha³
Charlene B. Dale⁴

. .

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR DIARRHOEAL DISEASE RESEARCH, BANGLADESH G.P.O. Box 128, Dhaka 2 Bangladesh

¹ Training Physician

² Team Leader

³ Manager

⁴ Consultant

PREFACE

The International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR, B) is an autonomous, international, philanthropic, non-profit centre for research, education, training and clinical service. The Centre is derived from the Cholera Research Laboratory (CRL). Its activities are to undertake and promote study, research and dissemination of knowledge in diarrhoeal diseases and the directly related subjects of nutrition and fertility--with a view to developing improved health care methods and to prevent and control diarrhoeal diseases and improve public health programmes, especially in developing countries. The ICDDR, B issues an annual report, working papers, scientific reports, special publications, monographs, theses, dissertations, and a bi-monthly newsletter, which demonstrates the type of research activities currently in progress. views expressed in these papers are those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of the ICDDR, B. They should not be quoted without the authors' permission.

CONTENTS

| | | Pages |
|--|-----------|-------|
| | | |
| ABSTRACT | ••• | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | ••• | 2 |
| BACKGROUND | ••• | 3 |
| AIMS AND OBJECTIVES | *** | 3 |
| METHODOLOGY | ••• | 3 |
| The Study's Sample Design | • = 0 | 4 |
| Development of Questionnaires and Checklist | | 4 |
| Pre-testing of Questionniares and Checklist | | 4 |
| Training of Interviewers | • • • | 4 |
| Field Procedure | • • • | 5 |
| | | |
| RESULTS | • • • | 5 |
| A. Resource Persons | | 5 |
| • | ••• | , |
| 1. Knowledge of how to suspect cholera | ••• | 5 |
| 2. Knowledge of dehydration | • • • | 7 |
| 3. Knowledge of ORS contra-indications | • • • | 7 |
| 4. Knowledge of appropriate therapy (ORS, IVF and ant: | lbiotics) | |
| according to clinical conditions | | 7 |
| 5. Knowledge of diet during diarrhoea | ••• | 9 |
| 6. Knowledge of appropriate treatment place for severe | alÿ | |
| dehydrated patients during an explosive outbreak | • • • | 9 |
| Knowledge of detecting diarrhoeal epidemics | | 9 |
| 8. Knowledge of proper assessment of severe dehydratic | on, | |
| appropriate fluid therapy for initial rehydration, | type of | |
| fluid for maintenance rehydration and administration | on time | |
| for initial fluid therapy | | 9 |
| Knowledge of vulnerable age and sex during cholera | epidenics | 11 |
| 10. Knowledge of giving plain water during diarrhoeal | - | • |
| rehydration therapy | | 11 |
| ll. Knowledge of diarrhoeal death due to dehydration | *** * | 11 |
| 12. Comments on the usefulness of the training course | | 13 |
| B. Trainees | | |
| ••• | | 14 |
| 1. Knowledge of dehydration | *** | 14 |
| 2. Knowledge of appropriate time to start ORT | ••• | 14 |
| 3. Knowledge of ORS contra-indications | • • • | 14 |
| 4. Knowledge of diet during diarrhoea | • • • | 15 |
| 5. Knowledge of suspected cholera | | 15 |
| 6. Knowledge of detection of diarrhoeal outbreaks | • • • | 19 |

CONTENTS (contd.)

| | | | | | | Pages |
|-------|-------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|-------|
| | 7. | Knowledge of immedi | ate approach | to epidemic | control | |
| | • | activities | *** | | • • • | 20 |
| | 8. | Knowledge of ideal | I.V. fluid to | treat diarr | hoeal | |
| | | dehydration. | | * - | • • • | 20 |
| | | Knowledge of home-m | | | | 22 |
| | 10. | Knowledge of ideal | place to trea | t diarrhoeal | dehydration | 22 |
| | 11. | Comments on the use | fulness of th | e training c | ourse | 22 |
| c. | App | lication of Knowledg | e | • • • | • • • | 23 |
| | 1. | Preference for depo | t holders at | community le | vel | 23 |
| | | Training programme | | | | 23 |
| | | supplies | | | and the first of | 23 |
| | 3. | Reason for not main | | | ••• | 24 |
| | | | | - 500011 | • • • | #= *# |
| D. | Pro | blem Areas During Di | arrhoeal Outb | reaks | ••• | 26 |
| | 1. | Supply shortages | • • • | * * * | | 26 |
| DISCU | SSIO | N | • • • | • • • | ••• | 26 |
| | | | | | | |
| ACKNO | WLED | GEMENTS | • • • | ••• | ••• | 28 |
| APPEN | DIX I | A: Questionnaire for | Evaluation o | f Training o | f M.Og. and | |
| | | S.Is. on Diarrhoe | al Disease Ep | idemic Contr | ol | 29 |
| APPEN | DIX 1 | B: Questionnaire for | Evaluation o | f Knowledge, | Attitude and | |
| | | Practice of Field | Workers on D | iarrhoeal Di | sease | |
| | | Epidemic Control | * * * | * * * | * * * | 33 |
| APPEN | DIX (| C: Checklist. | • • • | *** | | 36 |

ABSTRACT

In 1983, a country-wide programme, entitled "Epidemic Control Preparedness," was sponsored to develop health manpower at the Upa-zila (county) level in Bangladesh. As part of the programme, health staff were trained to identify diarrhoeal epidemic situations, to manage epidemics in progress, to control epidemic situations and to prevent further spread. To evaluate the training quality of Upa-zila level health personnel, 16 resource persons (8 medical officers and 8 sanitary inspectors) and 80 trained Bangladesh Health Services field workers were interviewed in depth, at 8 randomly-selected Upa-zilas. The training was found beneficial overall. However, significant improvements were recommended in supply maintenance and in provision of fast field worker transportation, particularly during disease

INTRODUCTION

Cholera outbreaks occur in distinctive seasonal patterns every year in Bangladesh, affecting thousands of the country's 90 million people. Since its inception as the Cholera Research Laboratory in the early 1960's, the ICDDR,B has cooperated with the Government to intervene in epidemics, in order to control and prevent the spread of diarrhoeal diseases. These interventions have been accomplished with the assistance of local government authorities and community members.

From more than two decades of epidemic handling experience, the ICDDR, B and the Government have determined that increased health manpower development at the Upa-zila level is essential for effective epidemic control and prevention in rural Bangladesh. To provide effective diarrhoea epidemic control services to Bangladesh's 90 million people, most of them rural, the ICDDR, B formulated an economically feasible strategy, aimed at producing trained personnel within the existing health infrastructure.

In 1983, a programme, entitled "Epidemic Control Preparedness", was co-sponsored by the ICDDR,B, the Government Ministry of Health and Population Control, and the Ford Foundation. The goal was to develop health manpower at the Upa-zila level. The programme plans included development of Government health staff, who could independently identify diarrhoeal epidemic situations, manage epidemics in progress, and prevent further spread.

In the programme's first five months, the ICDDR,B trained 61 doctors and 64 sanitary inspectors from 74 Upa-zilas identified as epidemic-prone. In addition to the Upa-zila health officers' epidemic control training, the. ICDDR,B began training Government medical doctors, in an extensive on-the-job training Epidemic Control Programme. These highly trained doctors not only learned in-depth epidemiology and epidemic control techniques, but also evaluation skills which will help the Government adequately plan and implement its future country-wide Epidemic Control trainers' training programme. This report covers the first evaluation done by those doctors.

The Upa-zila and specialized epidemic control training programmes still going on in June 1984 (when this report was written), trained 121 Upa-zila health officer and 14 Government doctors. After their own training at the ICDDR,B, many of these health officers held secondary epidemic control training sessions for an estimated nearly 5,000 village health workers.

The course was designed to attain the following objectives:

- a) To acquaint trainees with all aspects of diarrhoeal diseases, such as etiology, pathophysiology, epidemiology, management and prevention;
- b) To provide trainees with modern conceptions of diarrhoea management, using ORT and Intravenous Fluids (IVF);

- c) To introduce participants to the methodology of epidemiological investigation of diarrhoeal disease epidemics;
- d) To teach participants training techniques which can be used successfully to teach grass-roots level health workers and community members how to control and prevent diarrhoeal epidemics;
- e) To teach participants how to establish and operate diarrhoeal treatment centres in rural areas, with the help of local communities.

BACKGROUND

Since the Government and the ICDDR,B plan to continue this specialized training programme, an evaluation was considered essential — to determine the extent of Upa-zila health officers' retention of knowledge and performance at the field level, as well as their skills in teaching what they learned to village health workers.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

With that purpose, the training programme was evaluated with the following objectives:

- To assess the extent of knowledge retention acquired during the training courses;
- b) To determine the amount of subsequent training given village field workers by the trained Upa-zila health officers:
- c) To assess the application of field knowledge and its effectiveness in strengthening field workers' skill in epidemic control;
- d) To identify acute problem areas in diarrhoeal outbreak management;
- e) To determine needed improvements for training courses, if any.

METHODOLOGY

In the first phase, 61 doctors and 64 sanitary inspectors from 74 Upazilas identified as epidemic-prone areas were trained. A two-stage sampling procedure was adopted, to select respondents as interviewees for the training programme evaluation. Sixteen (13%) course participants from 8 Upa-zilas were selected randomly for evaluation. After employee logs from those 8 Upa-zilas were reviewed, 10 field workers* (GHA and FWW) were selected randomly from each Upa-zila, giving a total sample of 80 field workers and 16 Upa-zila health officers (UZHOS).

The study's sample design is shown below:

| Dhaka Division | Khulna Division | Rajshahi Division | Chittagong Division |
|--|--|--|--|
| Dewanganj Upa- zila, Jamalpur District: 1 M.O. & 1 S.I., 10 field workers. | Galachipa Upa-zila, Patuakhali District: 1 M.O. & 1 S.I., 10 field workers. | Domar Upa-zila, Rangpur District: 1 M.O. & 1 S.I.,10 field workers. | Laksam Upa-zila, Comilla District: 1 M.O.& 1 S.I.,16 field workers. |
| Muksudpur Upa-zila, Faridpur District: 1 M.O.& 1 S.I., 10 field workers | Khoksa Upa-zila, Kushtia District: 1 M.O. & 1 S.I., 10 field workers | Chilmari Upa-zila, Rangpur District: 1 M.O. & 1 S.I.,10 field workers | Satkania Upa- zila, Chittagong District: 1 M.O.: 1 S.I., 10 field workers. |

Development of questionnaires and checklist

Two questionnaires were prepared, one for the UZHO resource persons (both in English and in Bengali) and the other for the field workers (in Bengali). These questionnaires were designed to collect information on the extent and retention of knowledge of both field workers and the UZHOs. A checklist was also designed with two parts, and was filled in by the evaluator, to 1) identify problem areas during the field application of the knowledge and skill, and 2) to help identify ways to improve epidemic service delivery.

Pre-testing of questionnaires and check-list

The questionnaires and the checklist were field-tested in two Upa-zila Health Complexes, at Kalihati and Madhupur in Tangail District. Three UZHOS and 20 randomly-selected field workers were the respondents. On the basis of pre-test findings, the questionnaires and the checklist were finalized.

Training of interviewers

Four doctors deputed to the ICDDR,B from the Ministry of Health acted as interviewers. To ensure the quality of data, they were trained on survey and evaluation techniques for 2 weeks. This training also included discussions and participation in the 5-day field trial of the evaluations at Kalihati and Madhupur Upa-zilas.

^{*} GHA = Government Health Assistant

FWW = Family Welfare Worker

Field procedure

Four one-member evaluation teams were formed, utilizing the above trained Government doctors. Through the Director-General of Health Services and concerned civil surgeons representing the districts to be evaluated, the UZHOS (resource persons) were informed at least 3 weeks in advance of the training course evaluation. One week before the evaluation, they received a letter from the Director-General of Health Services, requesting full cooperation. In future evaluations, either all or none of the concerned UZHOS will be notified in advance. This time, a primary objective was to evaluate the UZHOS' secondary training of field workers. Hence, notification was given to ensure that those selected already would have done such secondary training.

Each team covered 2 Upa-zilas. Questionnaires were answered by the UZHOs and field workers in the presence of the interviewers. The evaluation team filled in the checklist, after personally interviewing, observing and verifying numbers for health complex field staff, health personnel trained, and available ORS packets and cholera saline bags available at the health complex and the nearby sub-centre. Moreover, certain problems were identified, including inadequate buffer or reserve stock supplies, and operational difficulties during diarrhoeal outbreaks.

RESULTS

Detailed answers to the three questionnaires administered to UZHOs and trained field workers are presented here (a copy of each questionnaire is included in Appendices A, B and C).

A. Resource persons

Sixteen resource persons (8 medical officers and 8 sanitary inspectors) were interviewed.

1. Knowledge of how to suspect cholera

Rice-watery stool (100%), acute onset (87%), rapid dehydration onset (87%), effortless purging (69%), and similar illness in the family or neighbourhood (62%) were considered as the presentable evidence features for suspecting a cholera case. However, such incorrect answers as tenesmus (12%) and fever (6%) also were given by UZHOS (Table I).

Of the UZHOs, 37% answered all 5 questions correctly, 44% answered 4 correctly, and 12% answered 3 correctly. Overall, 94% of the UZHOs correctly answered three of the five questions.

TABLE I--RESOURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE ACCORDING TO CRITERIA FOR SUSPECTING CHOLERA CASES

| Resource | Rice- watery stool | Acute onset | Rapid onset of dehydra- tion | Effort- less purging | Simultaneous occurrence of diarrhoea illness in the same family or neighbourhood | Tenesmus | Fever | Prolonged onset | Abdo- minal cramp | |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------|-------|--------------------|---|---|
| M. O. | 8 (100) | 8 (80) | 7 (87) | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | 1 (12) | 1(12) | - | - | |
| S. I. | 8 (100) | 6 (75) | 7 (87) | 4 (50) | 4 (50) | 1 (12) | - | | | σ |
| Total | 16 (100) | 14(87) | 14 (87) | 11 (69) | 10(62) | 2 (12) | 1 (6) | | *************************************** | |

Knowledge of dehydration

Two evidences of mild, moderate and severe dehydration were answered correctly by 81%, 81% and 94% of respondents. The rest gave one correct answer, on respective dehydration degrees (Table II).

TABLE II--KNOWLEDGE OF RESOURCE PERSONS' DEHYDRATION ASSESSMENT

| | No. of correct answers about dehydration degree | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|--|
| | Mild* | | Moderate | | Severe | | |
| Respondents | 1 | 2 | 1 | , 5 | 1 | 2 | |
| M.O. | 1(12) | 7 (87) | 1(12) | 7 (87) | - | 8 (100) | |
| s.I.* | 1(12) | 6 (75) | 2 (25) | 6 (75) | 1(12) | 7 (87) | |
| Total | 2 (12) | 13 (81) | 3(19) | 13 (81) | 1(6) | 15 (94) | |

^{*} One did not respond

Figures in parentheses are percentages

3. Knowledge of ORS contra-indications

Most UZHOs considered unconsciousness (94%), paralytic ileus (87%), excessive and persistent vomiting (75%) and convulsion (62%) as circumstances when ORS should not be given diarrhoea patients. Moreover, 25% considered extreme weakness as one ORS contraindication. Such incorrect replies as mild vomiting and mild dehydration with fever suggestive of rotavirus diarrhoea also were given as contra-indications by some respondents (Table III).

Twelve percent of respondents correctly answered all 5 questions, 44% answered 4 of 5 correctly, and another 25% correctly answered 3. However, 81% were able to identify at least three contraindications.

4. Knowledge of appropriate therapy (ORS, IVF and antibiotics) according to clinical conditions

Of the respondents, 44% were correct in using ORT in clinical rotavirus diarrhoea. Use of appropriate therapy in mild

TABLE III--RESOURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE ON CONTRA-INDICATIONS OF ORS ACCORDING TO CRITERIA

| Resource persons | Uncons- cious- ness | Paralytic ileus | Excessive & persistent vomiting | Convul- sion | Extreme fatigue | Mild dehy- dration with fever | Mild vomiting |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| м. о. | 8 (100) | 8 (100) | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | 3 (37) | - | *** |
| s.I. | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | 5 (62) | 4 (50) | 1(12) | 1(12) | 1 (12) |
| Total | 15(94) | 14 (87) | 12 (75) | 10(62) | 4 (25) | 1 (6) | 1 (6) |

dehydration was correctly stated by 81%, while 87% were correct about how to treat severely fluid/electrolyte-depleted cases (Table IV).

5. Knowledge of diet during diarrhoea

All respondents suggested green coconut water, while 94% considered soft rice as well as banana as proper foods for diarrhoea patients. Breast milk (87%) and soaked "chira" (87%) also were referred to and no one opted for withholding food during diarrhoea (Table V).

Eighty-one percent knew all 5 food items, while 93% correctly stated at least 3 food items to be given diarrhoea patients.

6. Knowledge of appropriate treatment place for severely dehydrated patients during an explosive outbreak

Makeshift hospitals were correctly pinpointed by 75% as an effective tool for treating moribund cases. However, 25% considered that severe cases could be treated at home (Table VI).

7. Knowledge of detecting diarrhoeal epidemics

Eighty-seven percent considered continuous systematic diarrhoeal surveillance to be one of the best ways of detecting diarrhoeal outbreaks. An increased number of acute diarrhoea cases reporting to health complexes also was stated (81%) as another way to detect epidemics. Finally, 69% chose diarrhoeal death(s) occurrence with a short onset death interval as a detection criterion (Table VII).

Forty-four percent correctly described all three criteria for diarrhoeal disease epidemic detection, 50% correctly recognised 2 criteria, and 6% gave only one correct answer.

8. Knowledge of proper assessment of severe dehydration, appropriate fluid therapy for initial rehydration, type of fluid for maintenance rehydration and administration time for initial fluid therapy.

Ninety-four percent correctly stated the dehydration degree (severe) according to set clinical features; while 87% named the proper fluid, and 69% calculated the exact amount of I.V.F. based on admission body weight needed for initial rehydration. Another 87% correctly described fluid type (ORS) for subsequent maintenance therapy, and 15 (94%) knew the correct time intervals for fluid administration during initial rehydration (Table VIII).

ć

TABLE IV--RESOURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE OF APPROPRIATE THERAPY ACCORDING TO CLINICAL CONDITIONS

| Resource persons | Infants with watery diarrhoea, vomiting & history of fever | Patients with 5 days watery diarrhoea but mildly dehydrated | Patients with severe dehydration |
|---------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| M. O. | 3 (37) | 8 (100) | 8 (100) |
| 5.I. | 4 (50) | 5 (62) | 6 (75) |
| Total | 7 (44) | 13 (81) | 14 (87) |

TABLE V-DIETARY PREFERENCE OF RESOURCE PERSONS DURING DIARRHOEA

| Preference on diet during diarrhoea | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Resource persons | Green coconut water | Banana | Soft rice | Soaked 'chira' | Breast milk | No food at all |
| M. O. | , 8(100) | 8 (100) | 7 (87) | 7 (87) | 8 (100) | - |
| s.i. | 8 (100) | 7 (87) | 8 (100) | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | * |
| Total | 16 (100) | 15 (94) | 15 (94) | 14 (87) | 14 (87) | - |

TABLE VI-KNOWLEDGE OF RESOURCE PERSONS' ABOUT APPROPRIATE
PLACE TO TREAT SEVERELY DEHYDRATED PATIENTS
DURING AN EXPLOSIVE OUTBREAK

| Resource persons | Makeshift hospital | Household | Health complex |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| M.O. | 6 (75) | 2 (25) | - |
| s.i. | 6 (75) | 2 (25) | _ |
| Total | 12 (75) | 4 (25) | |

9. Knowledge of vulnerable age and sex during cholera epidemics

Resource persons correctly stated ages and sexes of persons who contracted cholera during an epidemic in, respectively, 75% and 62% of cases (Table IX).

10. Knowledge of giving plain water during diarrhoeal rehydration therapy

Ninety-four percent knew that plain water can be used for rehydration (particularly for a child) (Table X).

11. Knowledge of diarrhoeal death due to dehydration

The question, "If a cholera patient dies within 2 hours of admission, what may be the most probable cause?" was answered correctly by 94% (Table XI).

TABLE VII--RESOURCE PERSONS' PREFERENCE OF CRITERIA FOR DIARRHOEAL EPIDEMIC DETECTION

| Resource persons | Continuous systematic diarrhoeal surveillance | Increased number of acute diarrhoeal cases reporting to the health complex | Occurrence of diarrhoeal deaths in a locality with short onset-death interval | Rumour |
|---------------------|--|--|---|--------|
| M. O. | 7 (87) | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | ** |
| S. I. | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | 5 (62) | 1(12) |
| Total | 14 (87) | 13(81) | 11 (69) | 1 (6) |

TABLE VIII--RESOURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE OF PROPER ASSESSMENT OF SEVERE DEHYDRATION, APPROPRIATE FLUID THERAPY AND ADMINISTRATION TIME FOR INITIAL FLUID THERAPY

| Resource persons | Proper assessment of severe dehydra- tion | Proper type of fluid for rehydration therapy | Proper time to administer initial rehy- dration | Type of fluid for maintenance therapy | Appropriate amount for initial rehydration |
|---------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| м. о. | 8(100) | 8 (100) | 8 (100) | 7 (87) | 8 (100) |
| s.ı. | 7 (87) | 6 (75) | 7 (75) | 7 (87) | 3 (37) |
| Total | 15 (94) | 14 (87) | 15 (94) | 14 (87) | 11 (69) |

TABLE IX--RESOURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE OF MOST VULNERABLE
AGES AND SEXES DURING CHOLERA EPIDEMICS

| | Correct ans | swers |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Resource persons | Age (15-39 yrs) | Sex (Female) |
| M.O. | 7 (87) | 5 (62) |
| s.ı. | 5 (62) | 5 (62) |
| Total | 12 (75) | 10(62) |

TABLE X--RESCURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE OF ADMINISTERING PLAIN WATER DURING DIARRHOEA

| Resource | An | swer |
|----------|---------|-----------|
| persons | Correct | Incorrect |
| 1.0. | 8(100) | - |
| 5. I. | 7 (87) | 1(12) |
| Total | 15 (94) | 1(6) |

Figures in parentheses are percentages

12. Comments on the usefulness of the training course

Most UZHOs (94%) considered the ICDDR, B diarrhoea training course very useful. Moreover, 6 percent described the course as useful in developing knowledge and skill in diarrhoeal disease management.

TABLE XI--RESOURCE PERSONS' KNOWLEDGE OF CAUSE
OF DEATH DUE TO DIARRHOEA

| | Answer | | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|--|
| Resource persons | Correct | Incorrect | |
| M.O. | 8(100) | - | |
| S.I. | 7 (87) | 1(6) | |
| Total | 15(94) | 1(6) | |

B. Trainees

Eighty randomly-selected field workers at the Upa-zila level were interviewed.

Knowledge of dehydration

Of the field workers, 79% correctly answered all 4 dehydration signs and/or symptoms, while 21% gave 3 correct answers. All respondents were able to identify at least 3 of the 4 correct signs and/or symptoms (Table XII).

Sunken eyes (82%), thirst (69%), dry tongue (62%) and loss of skin elasticity (55%) were the four main dehydration signs and/or symptoms named.

Knowledge of appropriate time to start ORT

When asked to reply on the time to start ORT, almost all field workers (99%) answered correctly (Table XIII).

Knowledge of ORS contra-indications

with respect to when ORT should not be given, most respondents (95%) answered "unconsciousness," 80% said "convulsion," and 26% considered "very dry tongue with very feeble pulse" to be a contra-indication. Such incorrect answers as mild vomiting (10%) and symptoms suggestive of rotavirus diarrhoea (7%) also were stated (Table XIV).

TABLE XII--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF DEHYDRATION

| Name of | No. of correct answers o of dehydra | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Upa-zila | 4 | | | |
| Satkania | 8 (80) | 2 (20) | | |
| Laksam | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | | |
| Chilmari | 1.0(100) | • | | |
| Domar | 9 (90) | 1(10) | | |
| Khoksa | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | | |
| Galachipa | 5 (50) | 5 (50) | | |
| Dewanganj | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | | |
| Muksudpur | 10(100) | - | | |
| Total | 63 (79) | 17 (21) | | |

4. Knowledge of diet during diarrhoea

With regard to giving food as well as ORS, all respondents favoured providing food. Half of the respondents were able to name 4 proper food items to be given during diarrhoea, while 21% identified at least 3 such food items (Table XV).

Ninety percent favoured breast milk, 89% green coconut water and 86% soft rice. Banana and soaked "chira" also were mentioned by, respectively, 62% and 60%, while 49% said plain water can be given along with foods.

5. Knowledge of suspected cholera

Thirty-one percent correctly answered all 4 evidences of suspected cholera, 41% identified 3 and 22% named 2 (Table XVI).

TABLE XIII-FIELD WORKERS KNOWLEDGE OF APPROPRIATE TIME
TO START ORT

| Name of Upa-zila | As early as possible | Within 12 hrs. of onset |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Satkania | 10(100) | • |
| Laksam | 10(100) | - |
| Chilmari | 10(100) | - |
| Domar | 10(100) | - |
| Khoksa | 10(100) | · |
| Galachipa | 10(100) | - |
| Dewanganj | 9 (90) | 1(10) |
| Muksudpur | 10(100) | · • |
| Total | 79 (99) | 1(1) |

TABLE XIV--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF ORT CONTRA-INDICATIONS

| Name of Jpa-zila | Uncons- ciousness | Convul- sion | Very dry tongue with very feeble pulse | Mild vomiting | Infants with watery stool and fever |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Satkania | 9 (90) | 10 (100) | 1(10) | 3 (30) | 1 (10) |
| Laksam | 10(100) | 8 (80) | 2 (20) | | 2 (20) |
| Chilmari | 10(100) | 10(100) | 5 (50) | 1(10) | |
| Domar | 10(100) | 10(100) | 4 (40) | 1(10) | ** |
| Khoksa | 10 (100) | 7 (70) | •• | - | - |
| Galachipa | 10(100) | 3 (30) | 2 (20) | 2 (20) | 1(10) |
| Dewanganj | 7 (70) | 6 (60) | 5 (50) | 1 (10) | 2 (20) |
| Muksudpur | 10(100) | 10 (100) | 2 (20) | - | - |
| Total | 76 (95) | 64 (80) | 21 (26) | 8 (10) | 6 (7) |

TABLE XV--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF DIET DURING DIARRHOEAL ILLNESS

| _ | | Num | ber of cor | rect answer | s | |
|---------------------|-------------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Name of Upa-zila | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Satkania | 2 (20) | 3 (30) | 4 (40) | 1(10) | | - |
| Laksam | 5 (50) | 3 (30) | 1(10) | 1(10) | - | |
| Chilmari | 6 (60) | 3 (30) | 1(10) | <u></u> | • | - |
| Domar | 3 (30) | 4 (40) | 1 (10) | 2 (20) | *** | ~ |
| Khoksa | 1(10) | 3 (30) | 1(10) | 4 (40) | 1(10) | - |
| Galachipa | | 1(10) | 2 (20) | 4 (40) | 2 (20) | 1(10) |
| Dewanganj | 1(10) | | 4 (40) | 5 (50) | • | - |
| Muksudpur | 4 (40) | 1(10) | 5 (50) | - | non | - |
| Total | 22 (27) | 18 (22) | 19 (24) | 17 (21) | 3 (4) | 1(1) |

TABLE XVI--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF SUSPECTED CHOLERA CASES

| Name | Num | ber of correct | answers | |
|------------------|--------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| Upa-zila | 4 | 3 | , 2 | :1 |
| Satkania | 5(50) | 1(10) | 3 (30) | 1(10) |
| Laksam | - | 5 (50) | 5 (50) | - |
| Chilmari | 6 (60) | 4 (40) | - | - |
| Domar | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | ~ | - |
| Khoksa | 2 (20) | 7 (70) | 1(10) | ** |
| Galachipa | 2 (20) | 6 (60) | 1(10) | 1(10) |
| Dewanganj | 1 (10) | 3 (30) | 5 (50) | 1(10) |
| u ksudpur | 2 (20) | 4 (40) | 3 (30) | 1(10) |
| Potal | 25(31) | 33 (41) | 18 (22) | 4 (5) |

. 2

Effortless purging (86%), rapid dehydration onset (84%), similar diarrhoeal illness in the family or neighbourhood (69%) and acute onset (57%) were the main evidences given for suspecting cholera. However, such incorrect answers as abdominal pain (21%), prolonged onset (15%), bloody mucoid stool (6%), tenesmus (2%), and fever (1%) also were offered.

6. Knowledge of detection of diarrhoeal outbreaks

Eighty percent correctly answered 2 questions on diarrhoeal outbreak detection, while the remaining 20% gave 1 correct answer (Table XVII).

Ninety-one percent said that occurrence of death(s) within a few hours of diarrhoea onset could be considered as a sign of a diarrhoea epidemic. Another 86% said a better indication is the number of cases divided by the average number of cases. Incorrect answers, such as information from local medicine shops or rumours, also were given by, respectively, 9% and 4% of the respondents.

TABLE XVII--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF HOW TO
DETECT DIARRHOEAL OUTBREAKS

| | Number of corre | ct answers |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Name of Jpa-zila | 2 | . 1 |
| Satkania | 9 (90) | 1 (10) |
| Laksam | 5 (50) | 5 (50) |
| Chilmari | 9 (90) | 1(10) |
| Domar | 9 (90) | 1 (10) |
| Khoksa | 10(100) | - |
| Galachipa | 9 (90) | 1 (10) |
| Dewanganj | 8 (80) | 2 (20) |
| Muksudpur | 5 (50) | (50) د |
| Total | 64 (80) | 16(20) |

Knowledge of immediate approach to epidemic control activities

Early case management and establishment of makeshift hospitals were given as anwers by, respectively, 57% and 12%. Considering these two answers as correct and combining these for "immediate case management," gives a correct answer total of 69%. However, such incorrect answers as health education, reporting to the Upa-zila health complex and vaccination were stated to be priorities by, respectively, 19%, 9% and 2% of the respondents (Table XVIII).

8. Knowledge of ideal I.V. fluid to treat diarrhoeal dehydration

Cholera saline was considered as the ideal I.V. fluid to correct diarrhoeal dehydration by 69%, while 17% selected normal saline. Incorrect knowledge was shown by the 14% who considered 5% dextrose in water as the ideal I.V. rehydration fluid (Table XIX).

TABLE XVIII--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF PRIORITIES IN EPIDEMIC CONTROL APPROACHES

| Name of Upa-zila | Early case management | Set-up of makeshift hospital | Health education | Reporting to Upa-zila H.C. | Vaccination |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| atkania | 2 (20) | 6 (60) | 1 (10) | 1 (10) | - |
| Laksam | 6 (60) | 2 (20) | 2 (20) | - | - |
| Chilmari | 9 (90) | - | - | 1 (10) | - |
| Domar | 8 (80) | - | - | 2 (20) | - |
| Khoksa | 5 (50) | <u>.</u> | 4 (40) | 1 (10) | - |
| Galachipa | 4 (40) | - | 4 (40) | - | 2 (20) |
| Dewanganj | 5 (50) | 2 (20) | 2 (20) | 1(10) | , - |
| Muksudpur | 7 (70) | - | 2 (20) | 1(10) | |
| Total | 46 (57) | 10(12) | 15(19) | 7 (9) | 2(2) |

TABLE XIX--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF IDEAL I.V. FIUID TO CORRECT DIARRHOEAL DEHYDRATION

| Name of Upa-zila | Cholera saline | Normal saline | 5% dextrose in water |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Satkania | 4 (40) | 4 (40) | 2 (20) |
| Laksam | 5 (50) | 2 (20) | 3 (30) |
| Chilmari | 10(100) | - | - |
| Domar | 10(100) | - | - |
| Khoksa | 5 (50) | 4 (40) | 1(10) |
| Galachipa | 4 (40) | 1(10) | 5 (50) |
| Dewanganj | 8 (80) | 2 (20) | ve n |
| Muksudpur | 9 (90) | 1(10) | . . |
| Total | 55 (69) | 14 (17) | 11(14) |

9. Knowledge of home-made solution

Here, 82% knew the correct procedure, including the proper measures and ingredients for preparation of home-made ORS (Table XX).

10. Knowledge of ideal place to treat diarrhoeal dehydration

A patient's home was considered by 85% to be the ideal place for initiating ORT, while 15% named the health complex. None preferred medicine shops (Table XXI)

11. Comments on the usefulness of the training course

Most (86%) UZHOs considered the Upa-zila level training courses by resource persons "very useful," while 9% described the course as "useful," and 5% said the course had offered little benefit in developing knowledge and skills.

TABLE XX--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF PREPARATION OF HOME-MADE SOLUTION

| Name of Upa-zila | Correct. response | Incorrect response | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Satkania | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | |
| Laksam | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | |
| Çhilmari | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | |
| Domar | 10(100) | - | |
| Khoksa | 10(100) | - | |
| Galachipa | 7 (70) | 3 (30) | |
| Dewanganj | 8 (80) | 2 (20) | |
| Muksudpur | 10(100) | - - | |
| Total | 66 (82) | 14 (17) | |

C. Application of knowledge

1. Preference for depot holders at community level

As to the training of persons to act as community "depot holders" of ORS packets, 56% suggested field workers or Union Parishad members should serve as depot holders. Also suggested were community leaders (49%), volunteers (37%), school teachers (31%), religious leaders (19%) and village practitioners (25%). Only 12% opted for mothers to act as depot holders.

2. Training programme at Upa-zila level and availability of supplies

The UZHOs or resource persons evaluated had trained 70% of the field staff in their health complexes. In checking the records of health complexes and sub-centres, evaluators found that 34,580 ORS packets were available in the 8 health complexes (mean 4,322, range 450-15,300). However, only 2,491 ORS packets were available in 7 sub-centres (mean

TABLE XXI--FIELD WORKERS' KNOWLEDGE OF IDEAL PLACE TO TREAT
DIARRHOEAL DEHYDRATION

| Name of Upa-zila | Household by oral rehydra- tion therapy | Health complex by I.V. fluid | Medicine shop by oral #ehydration therapy |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Satkania | 10(100) | . - | , and |
| Laksam | 8 (80) | 2 (20) | - |
| Chi lmari | 10(100) | - | - |
| Domar | 10(100) | • | - |
| Khoksa | 9 (90) | 1(10) | - |
| Galachipa | 9 (90) | 1(10) | • |
| Dewanganj | 6 (60) | 4 (40) | - |
| Muksudpur | 6 (60) | 4 (40) | - |
| Total | 68 (85) | 12 (15) | |

356, range 21-2,000) on the days the evaluators visited. As to available I.V. saline bags (500 cc), a mean of 129 bags was found in health complexes and 9 bags in sub-centres (Table XXII).

3. Reason for not maintaining buffer stock

The evaluators tried to determine the reasons for the inadequate reserves of ORS packets and I.V. saline bags at the Upa-zila and sub-centre levels. Sixty-three percent of Upa-zila Health and Family Planning officers (H&FPOs) blamed inadequate supplies from district reserves, and another 37% said they had not been instructed in proper reserve maintenance. At the sub-centre level, 71% also said they had not been told about getting buffer stocks from the Upa-zila level, and 43% reported that they were not getting a sufficient supply from the health complexes.

TABLE XXII--NUMBER OF TOTAL FIELD STAFF IN THE HEALTH COMPLEX, NUMBER TRAINED, NUMBER OF ORS PACKETS AVAILABLE IN THE HEALTH COMPLEX AND SUB-CENTRE, AND NUMBER OF I.V. BAGS AVAILABLE IN THE HEALTH COMPLEX AND SUB-CENTRE ON THE VISIT DATE

| | Total | No. of field | Number of OR | 5 packets | I.V. bags (5 | 00 cc) | |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Name of Upa-zila | field staff | staff attended training | Health complex | Sub-centre H | ealth complex | Sub-centre | |
| Satkania | 41 | 32 (78) | 2510 | 215 | 55 | 3 | |
| Laksam | 95 | 20(21) | 15300 | - | 209 | - | |
| Chilmari | 40 | 31 (77) | 2475 | 21 | 106 | ₹ | 7 |
| Domar | 72 | 65 (90) | 735 | 55 | . 33 | 20 | |
| Khoksa | 12 | 12 (100) | 1860 | No sub-centro | e 303 | No sub-centre | > |
| Galachipa | 61 | 56 (92) | 1750 | 200 | 104 | 22 | |
| Dewanganj | . 75 | 74 (99) | 9500 | 2000 | 120 | 20 | |
| Muksudpur | 109 | 62 (57) | 450 | ** | 102 | <u>-</u> | |
| Total | 505 | 352(70) | 34580 | 2491 | 1032 | 65 | |
| Mean | | | 4322 | 356 | 129 | 9 | |

D. Problem areas during diarrhoeal outbreaks

Supply shortages

Respondents were asked to state the problems they faced in dealing with diarrhoeal outbreaks. The main ones listed were shortages of ORS and I.V. and transportation difficulties for field staff. Both H&FPOs and field level staff blamed transportation problems as most severe (87% and 100%, respectively), and 37% of both groups also said that ORS shortages were a problem (Table XXIII),

TABLE XXIII--PROBLEMS FACED DURING LAST EPIDEMIC

| Respondents | ORS shortage | I.V. shortage | Transporta- tion problem |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Upa-zila H&FPO N = 8 | 3 (37) | 5 (62) | 7 (87) |
| Field level N = 8 | 3 (37) | 6 (75) | 8 (100) |
| Sub-centre N = 7 | 6 (86) | 7 (100) | 3 (43) |

Figures in parentheses are percentages

DISCUSSION

The two main objectives of this evaluation were to assess the extent of knowledge retained by resource persons (UZHOs) as a result of the ICDDR, B training and to determine how much knowledge was passed on to the field workers the UZHOs subsequently trained. All UZHOs were found knowledgeable about dehydration status assessment, diarrhoea management and overall epidemic control activities. Field workers were found quite knowledgeable in recognising dehydration, disease management and field assessment and outbreak control. In each Upa-zila where an evaluation was done, field workers seemed to have benefitted from the training, especially regarding

field assessment of a diarrhoeal disease outbreak and how to handle it. It was concluded that the present training course should contribute to a substantial decrease in diarrhoeal disease fatalities, particularly during outbreaks.

However, it also was found that UZHOs had little knowledge of a) appropriate therapy for clinical viral diarrhoeas; and b) which age groups and sexes are especially vulnerable during cholera epidemics. As for field workers, they were weak in knowledge of a) the immediate approach to outbreak control; b) the ideal I.V. fluid for rehydration; and c) preparation of homemade ORs. Since no training course can be expected to impart total knowledge to all participants, these deficiencies cannot be blamed on the course itself. Still, there undoubtedly is room for improvement of the training programme. Moreover, one way to achieve such improvement would be long-term cooperation among the ICDDR,B, the resource persons and field workers, through distribution of educational materials and refresher training courses. These measures surely would be helpful in sustaining the knowledge imparted and strengthening its application to the field.

Properly trained manpower, sufficient supplies and fast transportation are the main prerequisites for effective diarrhoeal disease control at the community level, including prompt intervention to avoid unnecessary loss of lives. Anticipation of outbreaks and plans for combatting them are critical, due to the diseases' fulminating nature and the need for extensive fluid therapy. Moreover, at all times, adequate rehydration supplies must be available. This study reveals that training to develop health manpower in the existing infrastructures is progressing satisfactorily. However, unless the other two vital variables are timely and properly ensured at all levels (community, field workers and health facilities), especially before the start of epidemics, and unless proper replenishment of supplies occurs during ongoing epidemics, successful health services delivery cannot be achieved.

The evaluation also should look at the relevance and suitability of course content. Perhaps for future evaluation, other health workers providing MCH-FP services, as well as community people, should be included, to address the effectiveness of transferring knowledge to the household level.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B). The ICDDR,B is supported by countries and agencies which share its concern about the impact of diarrhoeal diseases on the developing world. Current donors giving assistance to ICDDR,B include: Arab Gulf Fund, Australia, Bangladesh, Japan, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, Switzerland, UK, UNDP, UNICEF and USAID.

The authors thank Dr. W.B. Greenough, III, Dr. K.M.S. Aziz, Dr. M. Koblinsky and Dr. M. Currey for their encouragement and assistance in preparing this paper. They commend the interviewers for their hard work in data collection efforts; and thank N.R. Novak for editing the manuscript, and Cyril Gomes for secretarial assistance.

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR EVALUATION OF TRAINING OF M.Os. AND S.IS. ON DIARRHOEAL DISEASE EPIDEMIC CONTROL

| T | | 1 | |
|----|---|-------------------------|--|
| 1 | is not a personal judgment. It like that is not a personal judgment. It | Name of the interviewer | |
| of | fered at ICDDR, B. All information will be confidential. | | |
| | on will be confidential. | District | |
| | | Date . | • |
| 1. | Nam e | | |
| | Designation | <u> </u> | more than one correct question. Place tick |
| 2. | When did you receive your training at ICDDR, B | | question. Flace tick |
| 3. | Was the training course (answer of | one) | |
| | a) Very useful | • | |
| | b) Useful | | |
| | c) Improvement of knowledge | e and skill was not | much. |
| 4. | A suspected case of cholera is do one). | efined by (put 🗸 ma | ark, answer more than |
| | a) Fever | | |
| | b) Acute onset | | |
| | c) Rice watery stool | | |
| | d) Bloody mucoid stool | | • |
| | e) Rapid dehydration | | |
| | f) Prolonged onset | | |
| | g) Effortless purging | | |
| | h) Abdominal cramp | | |
| | i) Tenesmus | | |
| , | j) Simultaneous occurrence or neighbourhood. | of diarrhoeal illn | ess in the same family |
| 5. | Give at least 2 signs and/or symdehydration? | ptoms for mild, mod | erate and severe |
| | Mild Mo | derate | Severe |
| | 1. | | 1. |
| | 2. | | 2. |
| | 3. | | 3. |

| 6. | When ORS cannot be given (answer more | than one) ? |
|-----|---|--|
| | a) Mild vomiting | |
| | b) Mild dehydration with fever | |
| | c) Convulsion | |
| | d) Unconscious | |
| | e) Extreme fatigue | |
| • | f) Bloody mucoid diarrhoea | |
| | g) Excessive and persistent vomi | iting |
| | h) Paralytic ileus | |
| 7. | Which patient should get ORS, antibiot | tics and/or I.V. fluid ? |
| | | ORS Antibiotic IVF |
| | a) Infant with watery diarrhoea, vomiting and history of fever | the file filtress. And will design the filtress the same specially appropriate that all also appropriate |
| | b) Patient with 5 days watery diarr- hoea but mildly dehydrated | |
| | c) Patient with severe dehydration in coma | |
| 8. | What should be the diet of a diarrhoea one) ? | a patient (answer more than |
| | a) Soft rice | |
| | b) Banana | |
| | c) Green coconut water | · |
| | d) No food at all | |
| | e) Soaked 'chira' | |
| | f) Breast milk | |
| 9. | If the situation is explosive in a rem with the Health Complex, where would y diarrhoea patients (answer one) ? | note area having poor communication you treat severely dehydrated |
| | a) Health complex | |
| | b) Household | · |
| | c) Makeshift hospital | |
| 10. | How do you detect diarrhoeal epidemic | (answer more than one) ? |
| | a) Rumour | |

b) Increased number of acute diarrhoea cases reporting to the

health complex

| | c) | Occurrence onset-death | of diarrhoeal death(interval | s) i | nal | ocality with short |
|-----|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------|
| | đ) | Continuous s | systematic surveilla | nce | | |
| 11. | Suppose | signs and sym | mptoms of a patient | are | (Body | weight 35 kg): |
| | a) | Very dry to | ngue | | | |
| | b) | Imperceptibl | le pulse | | | |
| | c) | Oliguria | | | | |
| | a) | Extreme thin | rst | | | |
| | Answer: | | | | | |
| | . 1. | Assess degre | ee of dehydration | | | |
| | 2. | | e of fluid for initi | | | |
| | 3. | Type of flui | ld for maintenance o | £ | | |
| | 4. | Within how m | much time should the | abo | ve-me | |
| | 5. | | nount of fluid for i | | | |
| 12. | Which age epidemics | | a usually more vulne | rabl | e dur | ing cholera |
| | a) | 1. 0 - 4 5 | /rs | b) | 1. | Male |
| | | 2. 10-14 | rı | | 2. | Female |
| | • | 3. 15-39 | н | | 3. | Both |
| | | 4. 40+ | | | | |
| 13. | Can plain | _ | iven to diarrhoea pa | tien | ts, p | earticularly to |
| : | | , | /esNo | | | |
| 14. | If a chol | _ | dies within 2 hrs c | of acti | nissi | on the probable |
| | 1) | Toxaemia | | | | |
| | 2) | Malnutrition | ı | | | |
| | 3) | Perforation | of intestine | | • | |
| | 4) | Dehydration | due to inadequate f | luid | repl | acement |

| 15. | Who | should | be | trained | to | act | as | depot | ho] | lde | rs? | |
|-----|-----|--------|-------|---------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|--|
| | | (a) | • • • | | | | . . | | | | • • • • | |
| | | (b) | | | * | - - | | • • • • • | - + | , • | • • • • | |
| | | (c) | | | ••• | | | • • • • • | • • • | | | |
| | | (đ) | | | | • • • • | | • • • • • | | | • • • • | |
| | | (e) | | | ••• | • • • • | • • • | | | • • • | • • • • | |
| | - | (f) | | • • • • • • • | • • • | • • • • | | | | | | |
| | | (g) | | | ••• | | | | | | • • • • | |
| | | (h) | | | • • • | | • • • | <i>.</i> | | | | |

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR EVALUATION OF KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE OF FIELD WORKERS ON DIARRHOEAL DISEASE EPIDEMIC CONTROL

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Name of | interviewer |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|---------|---|
| It cc | will evalurse offer | personal judgment. luate the training red at ICDDR,B. All | | Upa-zila |
| | itormation | will be confidential. | | District |
| 1. | Name | | | Date |
| | | | | |
| 2. | Designat | ion | | There may be more than one |
| 3. | When did | you receive your trainin | g by | correct answer to one question. Place tick mark(s). |
| 4. | | training course | | mark(s). |
| | | Very useful | | |
| | b) | Useful | | |
| | c) | Improvement of knowledge | and sk | ill was not much. |
| 5. | Give at : | least 4 signs and/or symp | toms of | dehydration: |
| | 1. | | | , |
| | 2. | | | |
| | 3. | | | |
| | 4. | | | 8 |
| 6, | When wouldiarrhoea | ld you start oral rehydra a: | tion th | erapy if a child develops |
| | a) Next o | lay, b) Same day after 12 | hrs., | c) As early as possible. |
| 7. | When ORS | cannot be given? | | • |
| | a) | Infant with watery diar | rhoea a | nd history of fever. |
| | b) | Very dry tongue and irr | egular | pulse. |
| | c) | Unconscious. | | • |
| | d) | Convulsion. | | |
| | e) | Mild vomiting. | | |

| 8. | What should be the dietary practice during acute diarrhoea? |
|-----|--|
| | 1) No food to the patient |
| | 2) Soft rice |
| | 3) 'Chira' |
| | 4) Banana |
| | 5) Green coconut water |
| | 6) Breast milk |
| | 7) Plain water |
| 9. | A suspected case of cholera is defined by (put marks). |
| | Fever |
| | Acute onset |
| | Rice watery stool |
| | Bloody mucoid stool |
| | Effortless purging |
| | Abdominal cramp |
| | Occurrence of diarrhoea in the same family or neighbourhood |
| | Tenesmus |
| | Prolonged onset |
| | Rapid dehydration |
| 10. | How diarrhoea epidemic can be detected ? |
| | a) Rumour |
| | b) Information from local pharmacy |
| | c) Occurrence of diarrhoeal death with short onset- death interval |
| | d) Occurrence of cases more than normally expected. |
| 11. | In diarrhoea epidemic what is the priority approach? |
| | a) Health education |
| | b) Vaccination |
| | c) Early case management |
| | d) Reporting to Health Complex |
| | e) Establishment of a temporary treatment centre. |

| 12. | What is t | he best solu | tion for | I.V. | ${\tt rehydration}$ | therapy | • |
|-----|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|---------|---|
| | 1) | Normal sali | ne | | | | |
| | 2) | Cholera sal | ine | . | | | |
| | 3) | 5% dextrose | in aqua | | | | |

- 13. Please write down the formula of home-made solution.
- 14. Best place to begin rehydration therapy is at:
 - 1) Health complex by I.V.F.
 - 2) Pharmacy by ORS
 - 3) Household by ORS/home-made solution.

CHECKLIST

| | Name of interviewer |
|-----------|--|
| | Upa-zila |
| | District |
| | Date |
| | |
| or | Health and Family Planning Officers: |
| • | When did your MO and SI of the health complex receive the training at ICDDR,B |
| • | When was the training session conducted at the health complex |
| i. | Total field staffs the health complex had on the date of training |
| 1. | Total field staffs attending the training session (HI, AHI, GHA, FWW, FPA and FWA) |
| 5. | Total ORS packets available (on the date of visit) at the health complex. |
| 5. | Total available I.V.F. (on the date of visit) ${500 \text{ cc}}$ bags in the health complex. |
| 7. | During last diarrhoeal epidemic what were the problems you had faced to combat the epidemic |
| 3. | If buffer stock is not maintained properly, what are the reasons (specify) |
| | |
| For | Medical Officers/Sanitary Inspectors: |
| | During last diarrhoeal epidemic, what were the problems you had faced |

| Sub | o-centre: | |
|-----|---|--------------------------|
| Nam | le | (interviewee) |
| Des | signation | - : |
| 1. | Stock rosition of ORS in the sub-centre (on visit)pkts. | the date of |
| 2. | Stock position of I.V.F. in the nearest subvisit) / bags. 500 cc 1000 cc | centre (on the date of |
| 3. | During last diarrhoeal epidemic what were the to combat the epidemic? | e problems you had faced |
| | | |
| 4. | If buffer stock is not maintained properly, (specify) | what are the reasons |
| | | |