	EINICAL REVIEW	COMMITT	ce, icour, s.				
incipal Investigator	Leif Gother	ors Trai	nee Investigator (if any)				
plication No. <u>\$1-014(P)</u>			Supporting Agency (if Non-ICDDR, B)				
tle of Study lefluen nmanagenicity of by the onet route	3-subunit gi	ves (*)	New Study (P) Continuation with change No change (do not fill out rest of form)				
Source of Populati (a) Ill subjects (b) Non-ill subjects (c) Minors or per under guardia Does the study inv (a) Physical risk subjects (b) Social Risks (c) Psychological to subjects (d) Discomfort to (e) Invasion of p	con: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yolve: (s to the Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Orivacy Finforma-	_	anonymity of subjects  Check documents being submitted herewith to Committee:  Umbrella proposal - Initially submit as overview (all other requirements will be submitted with individual studies).  Protocol (Required)  Abstract Summary (Required)  Statement given or read to subjects on				
tion damaging ject or other Does the study inv (a) Use of record ital, medical	rs Yes volve: ls, (hosp- l, death,	(a)	nature of study, risks, types of quest ions to be asked, and right to refuse to participate or withdraw (Required)  Informed consent form for subjects Informed consent form for parent or				
birth or other (b) Use of fetal abortus	tissue or Yes	(A)	guardian Procedure for maintaining confidential ity				
(c) Use of organs fluids Are subjects clear (a) Nature and pu	Yes)	ut:	Questionnaire or interview schedule *  * If the final instrument is not completed prior to review, the following informatio should be included in the abstract summar				
study (b) Procedures to followed incl alternatives	uding	No NA	1. A description of the areas to be covered in the questionnaire or interview which could be considered either sensitive or which would				
(c) Physical risk (d) Sensitive que (e) Benefits to t (f) Right to refu	estions Yes be derived (165)	No NA No NA No	constitute an invasion of privacy.  2. Examples of the type of specific questions to be asked in the sensitiv areas.				
participate of draw from stu (g) Confidential of data	or to with-	No	3. An indication as to when the question naire will be presented to the Cttee. for review.				
(h) Compensation ment where the or privacy is any particula	nere are risks s involved in ar procedure (fe						
			wiew Committee for any changes				

Principal Investigator

Trainee

## SECTION 1 - PILOT STUDY

1.	Title:	INFLUENCE OF WHOLE CELL CHOLERA VACCINE. (WCV) ON THE IMMUNOGENICATY OF B-SUBUNIT GIVEN BY THE ORAL ROUTE				
2.	Investigators:	L. Gothefors, A.M. Svennerholm				
3.	Starting date:	lst May 1981				
4,	Completion date:	31st July 1981				
5.	Total direct costs:	US\$ 2665				
6.	Scientific Program Head:					
	This protocol has been approved by the combined Pathogenesis Therapy and Host Defence Working Groups.					
	Signature of Scientific Program Head : W.					
	•	Date: 24 3 87				
7.	Abstract Summary:					
	A limited protocol is proposed to evaluate if cholera whole cell					
	vaccine has a negative influence on the immunogenicity of the cholera					
	toxin B subunit given at the same time. Twenty-four female volunteers					
	will be immunized orally or parenterally and the immune response will					
	be evaluated from serum and saliva samples taken before and 14 days					
	after immunization.					
8.	Reviews:					
	a. Ethical Review Committee:					
	b. Research Review Committee:					
	c. Director:					
	d. BMRC:					
	e. Controller/Administer:	·				

## SECTION II - PLAN OF PILOT STUDY

#### A. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Objectives: To compare the serum and salivary antitoxin antibody response after oral administration of B subunit alone and in combination with oral or parenteral whole cell vaccine.
- 2. Background information: In the initial B subunit study (protocol No.79-009)

  12 women were given an oral immunization with 500 µg of B subunit each.

  Eleven of these women responded with a significant IgG antibody response in serum (sevenfold geometric mean increase) and in 7 there was a significant IgA antibody response (three-fold mean increase). A peroral booster immunization did not increase either the IgG or the IgA antibody responses in serum further. Also in intestinal lavage a single oral immunization resulted in significant titer increases in most of the cases. Thus, 9 of 11 volunteers responded with an IgA increase in intestine (as a mean ten-fold) and 8 of 11 with a similar IgG increase. A booster immunization induced titer increases of about similar magnitude and duration as did the primary immunization. Furthermore, this study showed that there was a statistically significant correlation between IgA antibody responses in intestinal lavage and in saliva.

Within the present protocol (80-029) 8 women have been given one oral immunization with 500 µg of B subunit together with WCV (5x10<sup>10</sup> killed Inaba and Ogawa vibrios). Preliminary analyses of the antibody responses

in serum and intestinal lavage from these women have been undertaken using the same methodology that was used in the previous study. The results from the present study show one interesting difference from those obtained in the 1979 trial. Thus, none of the women receiving the combined vaccine responded with a significant antitoxin antibody response in serum after a single immunization. The same was true for the responses in intestinal lavage. Thus, a significant IgA antibody response was only found in lavage of one of the women after a primary immunization.

Interestingly, 6 of 8 responded with a significant IgA antitoxin antibody response after the booster immunzation. This secondary response was of similar magnitude and duration as noted in intestine in the study 1979.

There are several possibilities for this discrepancy between the responses after a single immunization in the present and the previous study. One possibility might be that the degree of natural priming has varied from one year to another, so that the women in the 1979 study were more efficiently primed. Comparison of serum samples from the two studies, however, showed very similar preimmunization levels in the two study groups. Another possibility might be that the whole cell vaccine has a negative influence on the immunogenicity of the B subunit (antigen competition? Immunosuppression? etc). If this is true 500 µg of B subunit may be a too small dose for priming but may be effective for boosting.

## B. SPECIFIC AIMS

To investigate, in concurrently tested study groups, whether whole cell vaccine has a negative influence on an orally given B subunit.

If this pilot-study confirms the results from 1979 and 1980, i.e., WCV has a suppressing effect on B subunit, no further studies of this kind are needed.

If the pilot-study contradicts older results or is difficult to evaluate, a larger study has to be undertaken in the autumn 1981 where the immune response is evaluated in 3 groups orally immunized with a) WCV, b) WCV + B subunit and c) B subunit. In such a study lavages have to be done.

## C. METHODS OF PROCEDURE

- 1. Subjects: Twenty-four female volunteers will be sought for in Nandipara. They must fulfil the following criteria:
  - a) to be healthy
  - b) to be non-pregnant (only the I.M. Group)

All subjects will be fully informed about the purpose and procedure of the study. Informed consent will be required from every patients.

- 2. Immunizations: The women will be divided in three groups (8 in each):
  - a) 500 µg B subunit P.O.
  - b) 500  $\mu$ g B subunit P.O. +  $5x10^{10}$  killed vibrios (WCV) P.O.
  - c) 500  $\mu$ g B subunit P.O. +  $6x10^9$  killed vibrios (WCV) I.M. The oral WCV contains 5 x  $10^{10}$  vibrios in 2.5 ml; the parenteral contains 8 x  $10^9$  per ml.

3. Clinical specimens: Serum and saliva samples will be taken on day 0 and on day 14.

The methods for sampling and handling of the specimens are the same as previously described.

- 4. Laboratory assays: Serum samples will be analyzed for antitoxin and antibacterial antibodies of IgG and IgA classes, while saliva is analyzed for the same antibodies but only of IgA class.
- 5. Data analysis: The data obtained will be compared with those obtained in the 1979 and 1980 studies with regard to the following questions:
  - a) Does oral WCV suppress the antibody response to B subunit?
  - b) If so, does also parenterally given WCV have this effect?

#### D. SIGNIFICANCE

Results of animal studies indicate that antitoxic and antibacterial antibodies in the gut cooperate synergistically in the host resistance to cholera.

After the encouraging results from administration of oral B subunit it was a logical approach to give the B subunit in combination with a whole cell vaccine. When, however, our preliminary analysis indicate that none of the women receiving the combined vaccine responded with a significant antitoxin antibody response in serum and lavage after a single immunization, we must ask ourselves: Is this combination useful? We have also to realize that our knowledge of local immunity is very limited; more basic information on intestinal immunity in humans is badly needed before we consider the use of oral enteric vaccines.

## E. FACILITIES REQUIRED

Transport will be needed to and from Nandipara. Laboratory specimens will be handled by the Immunology Branch. The clinic in Nandipara needs a face-lift, but no new facilities are required.

## F. COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

Department of Microbiology, Goteborg will collaborate as earlier.

#### ABSTRACT SUMMARY

- The population to be studied includes village people from Nandipara, representative of those who would benefit from a potential cholera vaccine.
- 2. The risks related to this study are minimal. The antigens have already been extensively used in Sweden and Nandipara with no untoward effects. The blood samples are of small volume.
- 3. Surveillance by a physician will be maintained after giving the antigens.
- 4. The volunteers are identified only by study number.
- 5. Signed formal consent will be obtained.
- 6. No interview.
- 7. The individual subject may benefit if the antigen provides some protection against cholera. The society in general would benefit if a successful cholera vaccine is developed.
- 8. The project will require specimens of blood and saliva. It will not require hospital or other records.

# PERMISSION FORM - IMMUNIZATION WITH A COMBINATION OF WHOLE CELL CHOLERA VACCINE AND B SUBUNIT ANTIGEN

The International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh is carrying out research to determine the immune response to a material which may some day be used as a cholera vaccine. This material, which is composed of common whole cell vaccine and a natural toxoid (B subunit antigen) will stimulate the body to make protective substances against cholera, but it has no harmful activity. We would like you to participate in this study. If you decide to participate, you can expect the followings:

- You will have the vaccine(s) given on one occasion, either by injection or by mouth.
- 2. We will collect samples of saliva and blood at two times.
- 3. You will be visited after your vaccine is administered to look for any reaction.
- 4. You do not have to join the study. If you decide not to join, you will still be elligible for care at ICDDR, B. You may also decide to withdraw after entering the study and this will not affect any medical care you might require now or later on.
- 5. Your medical records will be kept confidential.

If you decide to join the study, please sign here -

## BUDGET SUMMARY

			!	Dollars
1.	Personnel Services			1050
2.	Supplies and Materials			400
3.	Equipment			0
4.	Hospitalization costs			0
<b>5.</b>	Outpatient care			0
6.	TCDDR, B Transport			15
7.	Travel			1000
8.	Transport of things			200
9.	Rent/Communication			0
10.	Printing			0
11.	Contractual services			0
. •		Total	:	2665
	•			

# PERMISSION FORM - IMMUNIZATION WITH A COMBINATION OF WHOLE CELL CHOLERA VACCINE AND B SUBUNIT ANTIGEN

The International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh is carrying out research to determine the immune response to a material which may some day be used as a cholera vaccine. This material, which is composed of common whole cell vaccine and a natural toxoid (B subunit antigen) will stimulate the body to make protective substances against cholera, but it has no harmful activity. We would like you to participate in this study. If you decide to participate, you can expect the followings:

- 1. You will have the vaccine(s) given on one occasion, either by injection or by mouth.
- 2. We will collect samples of saliva and blood at two times.
- You will be visited after your vaccine is administered to look for any reaction.
- 4. You do not have to join the study. If you decide not to join, you will still be elligible for care at ICDDR, B. You may also decide to withdraw after entering the study and this will not affect any medical care you might require now or later on.
- 5. Your medical records will be kept confidential.

If you decide to join the study, please sign here -

## मण्णि परा

# (সদৰূৰ্ণ কোৰ 5'ৰি সাৰ ইউনিটা সমনুহে টিকা)

वारमारमन वायुर्वाचिक छेमझामझ गर्दयना रुखा करमझा छीनामू नान्मूर्ग रसाय घरक रेम्सी विकिरस्तमङ मर्दन 'वि मान क्षितिक' मुक्त करम वक्की मुक्त किस वारिक्तारम्भ स्था गर्दयना एइट्स । महीद्रम वर्षे वेयरम् अविकिश्ता निक्रमन कर्नाष्ट्रः वर्षे गर्दयनाम छेरम्बा । वर्षे वेयर महीद्रम करमझा रमान अविद्राप मिस्स मृष्टि करम वर्षे वर्ष वम्न रुक्तिम अविकिश्ता गाँचे । वामा किस वर्षि वामारमम वर्षे गर्दयनाम वर्ष ग्रम क्षारम्य । वामि वर्ष वर्ष ग्रम्थ साक्षी बारम्य वाम्रस्त निरम्न वर्षिक निम्नमुद्रमा मन्त्र स्ट्रम इ

- )। जान नादन करनात्र मात किना निर्ण घटन । वेष ४कि द्यार घटन गादत चनना प्रेमण्डलनम् ७ घटन गादतः।
  - ३। बामब्रा नुरेबाब बानबाड बुबु ७ ब्रह्म्ब बयुमा मरश्रप्त कब्रव ।
- ७। किना रमनात्र पत्र बाहता उपस्थित श्रीवित्या रमचात्र बना वानसात्र रवैकि-वन्द्र रसन ।
- 8। गरवरणाङ्ग चरमग्रद्यन ना कहात्मक वाषद्रा वरे स्टब्स चाननाह अद्यापनीह किकिश्मा कहर । गरवरणा कताकातीय द्य दकान मचद्र चानिय कारेद्रा गर्वरणा पत्रिकाम कहरक गाहरवन । कारक तथन तबर गरह जाननाह अद्याधनीह किकिश्माह दकाय त्यकि एटम ना ।
- ८। गरववनात्र कामस-नदामि त्यानन द्वाचा घरव । बानवि खर्म ग्रुपन कडरक छाष्ट्रेस तथारम क्ष्मि कहुन