ETHICAL R	EVIEW	COM	MITTEE	, ICODR, B.	
investigator Legen Go	<u> </u>	1-44		ee Investigator (if any) _	39
ation No. 80-028			Suppor	rting Agency (if Non-ICDDR,	В)
of Study & Subunt Block	eines	<u>M</u>		ct status: New Study	
The topin in Family a	and c	1	ì	Continuation with change	
ilioba pirent		pletopipe)	Ò	No change (do not fill out	rest of form)
100 100 PA NEW TOWN THE TAXABLE SEPTIMENT TO	each	of	the fo	llowing (If Not Applicable	write NA).
ire: of Population:			5.	Will signed consent form t	e reduired:
11 subjects	(Yes)	No		(a) From subjects	Yes (No
Non-ill subjects	RES	No		(b) From parent or guardi	an
Minors or persons	Apple and any			(if subjects are mino	ors) Yes (No
under guardianship	(Fes	No	6.	Will precautions be taken	to protect
ous the study involve:	ALLOW!			anonymity of subjects	(Yes No
Physical risks to the			7.	Check documents being subr	eitted herewith
subjects	Yes	(NO)		Committee:	
Social Risks	Yes			Umbrella proposal - 1	initially submit 👳
Psychological risks		444		overview (all other	
ro subjects	Yes	(90)		be submitted with in	
Discomfort to subjects	Ves	No		<pre>Protocol (Required)</pre>	
invasion of privacy	(Ves			Abstract Summary (Re	quired)
Disclosure of informa-	-			Statement given or r	
tion damaging to sub-				nature of study, ris	· ·
ect or others	Yes	(No)		ions to be asked, an	
the study involve:		and the same of		to participate or wi	
use of records, (hosp-				Informed consent for	
ital, medical, death,				Informed consent for	
birth or other)	Yes	Ñā	سو	guardian	*
Use of fetal tissue or		6.7.		Procedure for mainta	ining confidential.
abortus	Yes	No		ity	
Use of organs or body		4.5		Questionnaire or int	erview schedule *
Fluids	Yes	No		* If the final instrument	
subjects clearly informe				prior to review, the fo	
Nature and purposes of	art there			should be included in t	
study	Ces.	No		1. A description of th	
Procedures to be	Same of the same	1 T T		covered in the ques	
followed including				interview which cou	
alternatives used	Yes	Мо		either sensitive or	
Physical risks	Yes	No	MA	constitute an invas	
Sensitive questions	Yes		NA	2. Examples of the typ	
Benefits to be derived	Andreas Property lies		, ,	questions to be ask	
Right to refuse to	4 ,			areas.	
participate or to with-	-			An indication as to	
draw from study	(Yes	No		naire will be prese	
Confidential handling				for review.	
of data	(Yes	No			
Compensation &/or treat	t-			•	
ment where there are ri					
or privacy is involved					
any particular procedur	re (Te	è 1	ło		

res to obtain approval of the Ethical Review Committee for any changes ong the rights and welfare of subjects before making such change.

riscipal Investigator

Traince

SECTION I - RESEARCH PROTOCOL

Received 21.7.80

1) Title:

B Subunit blocking of cholera
Toxin in family contacts of cholera

patients.

2) Principal Investigators:

Roger Glass, Jan Holmgren and

W.B. Greenough

3) Starting Date:

Sept. 1, 1980

4) Completing Date:

Sept 1, 1981

5) Total Direct Cost:

6) Scientific Program Head:

This protocol has been approved by the Disease Transmission Working Group

Signature of Scientific Program Head:

WEG 18/7/80

Abstract Summary: In animals, B subunit, the binding portion of cholera toxin, has been shown to give good protection from a subsequent challenge dose of active cholera toxin and thereby prevent disease. Furthermore, human studies with orally administered B subunit have shown no toxicity. Since family members of cholera patients have a 24% chance of contracting cholera vibrios in the 10 days after the first member of a family is hospitalized, this study proposes to examine the blocking effect of B subunit among: this high risk population.

300 cholera patients from the Matlab UTS area will be entered into the study and randomized into a treatment or placebo group. The families will be visited within 24 hours, enrolled in the study if they consent, and visited daily for 10 days. On day 1 & 2, they will receive treatment with either B subunit or a placebo. Each day, symptoms of diarrhea will be recorded and a rectal swab will be taken and cultured for V. cholera. Fingerstick bloods drawn on day 1 & 2 will help identify culture negative cases and to assess response to B subunit. Analysis will involve comparing incidence of V. cholera isolation, presence or absence and duration of symptoms between the treated and placebo groups.

	
(a)	Ethical Review Committee:
(b)	Research Review Committee:
(c)	Director:
(d)	BMRC:
(e)	Controller/Administrator:

8)

Review:

SECTION II - RESEARCH PLAN

A. INTRODUCTION

- Objective: To determine if orally administered B subunit reduces the incidence and duration of excretion of cholera vibrios and the severity and duration of illness in household contacts of index cases in Matlab.
- 2. Background: Cholera disease is dependent on the action of the enterotoxin of V. cholerae on the intestinal epithelium. The initial step in intoxication of the epithelium is the binding of the toxin to GM1 ganglioside receptors on the luminal surface of the epithelial cells. Studies in animals have shown that it is possible to block these receptors by occupying them with the nontoxic binding part of the toxin. the B subunit protomer 2,3. In the rabbit pretreatment of the gut with $0.5~\mu g$ B subunit per cm completely protects the animal from experimental cholera after challenge with high doses of active cholera toxin 2,3. To obtain this blocking it is necessary to give the B subunit prior to or simultaneously with the toxin since the toxin (as well as the B subunit) binds irreversibly to the membrane.

It is attractive to think that in humans as well, orally administered B subunit might effectively block the intestinal receptors for the toxin and thus be used as a prophylactic agent in high-risk groups. Chemical determination of the GMI ganglioside content in human small

intestinal mucosa has shown considerably lower values than in other species, 0.1 nmole/g wet tissue. This value, supported by determination of the number of toxin receptors on human intestinal cells 4 ($\sim 10^4$ toxin molecules can bind per cell), indicates that even if all of the GMl was exposed luminally as little as about 100 µg of B subunit could block all available receptors.

with this in mind, and because B subunit is also a promising immunogen against cholera, a method has been devised by which large quantities of pure B subunit, totally devoid of toxicity, can be prepared. The method is based on isolation of cholera toxin and partial elimination of "toxic" A subunit by affinity chromatography on a GM1 ganglioside affinity column followed by complete purification of the B subunit by gel filtration in acid buffer. After oral administration or systemic injection the purified B subunit is completely nontoxic in animals.

Furthermore, studies in human volunteers in Sweden and Bangladesh have shown that single or repeated oral administration of B subunit does not give rise to any detectable side-effects, and i.m. injection only to mild local reactions at the injection sites which are less than those noted after vaccination with whole-cell cholera vaccine. Therefore, B subunit appears entirely

safe if take twice by mouth in a dose of 500 µg. In addition to blocking the available GM1 receptors in the gut, B subunit would later boost a local IgA antitoxin antibody response and thus could have a dual beneficial effect on resistance against cholera.

The present protocol proposes to study the possible receptorblocking protective effect of B subunit in family contacts of cholera index cases. These persons have a 24% risk of developing cholera within a few days, as compared to about 0.3% in the average population? Roughly one half of these patients have symptoms and 10% require hospitalization. Apart from being a group of persons in which the new scientific approach can be evaluated in a limited number of individuals, this high-risk population could benefit much if a safe, specific, non-antibiotic agent were available for prevention during the short period of highly increased risk. Antibiotic prophylaxis is not adviseable especially since tetracycline-resistant V. cholerae strains have appeared in the Matlab area. B subunit is both safe and specific, and might prevent disease while still allowing the infection to boost an antibacterial immune response and by itself boosing local antitoxin immunity.

B subunit - Reactogenecity;

The B subunit to be used has been studied previously in human volunteers in Sweden and Bangladesh. It has been extensively purified from contaminating A subunit as well as from other bacterial components, and the methods of preparation and testing have been described in ICDDR,B protocol 79-009.

The reactogenecity of B subunit in this protocol was studied by Drs Sack & Svennerholm. Despite intense daily surveillance for side effects, none were observed in the 19 women who received a total of 26 oral doses. Although some mild local reaction were observed in women receiving injections, no toxicity was observed in Swedish volunteers receiving the same preparation. Orally administered B subunit is an immunogen inducing a significant rise in anti B subunit antibody.

4. Rationale: There is a great need for effective treatment, prophylaxis and immunization against cholera. This study will provide improved understanding of the role of cholera toxin in the pathogenesis of the disease and in the development of immune response. A blocking agent recently tested at ICDDRB, the GM1 gangliosile, was shown to have some effect in reducing the volume of purging in patients who already had

cholera. This study will allow us to further our understanding of the possible role of a blocking agent - B subunit - in preventing disease and/or diminishing the severity of disease in a high risk group of patients.

While antibiotics have been an important part of therapy before, problems with antibiotic resistance and changes in the normal gut flora caused by these drugs would make a more specific treatment desireable.

B. SPECIFIC AIMS

To determine whether B subunit administered orally to family contacts of cholera patients can block infection with V. cholerae or decrease the severity of illness.

C. METHODS OF PROCEDURE

1. Subjects.

The stools of all VTS patients presenting to the Matlab
Treatment Center with watery diarrhea will be screened
for V. cholerae using dark field microscopy with typespecific sera. The same specimens will be cultured for
V. cholerae using standard procedures of the field laboratory. Patients found to be positive on Dark-Field

examination will be identified as index cases. Index cases with 4 or more family members at home will be randomized to an intervention or a control group and their families will be visited within 24 hours of admission.

After explaining the study and receiving their informed consent each family member will be cultured for cholera immediately and daily for ten days or until all are culture negative for 3 days. Members will be queried about symptoms of diarrheal illness and anyone who is ill will be offered treatment either at home or in the hospital depending upon the severity. At the first visit and again 12 days later, a finger stick blood (100 lambda) will be taken to 1) identify family contacts who seroconvert but whose cultures have remained negative and 2) to determine the immunogenecity of the blocking agent. Children under 1 year and pregnant women will be excluded from the study.

2. <u>Immunization Procedure</u>

Family members will receive B subunit or placebo on the first and second days of the study. On each occasion a measured volume of $NaHCO_3$ (7.5g/1) will be given

first followed by five minutes later by an equal volume of NaHCO with B subunit or without (placebo). The dosage schedule will be:

DOSAGE SCHEDULE

	PRIMER ¹	1	INTERVENTIONS		
AGE GROUP	NaHCO ₃	B subun	lit	3 Piacebo	
	Vol(cc)	Vol(cc)	në	Vol(ce)	
Adults O years	150	150	500	350	
Children 5-10 years	100	100	330	100	
Children 1-4 "	. 75	75	250	75	

Excluded - children 0-1 and pregnant women

- 1. Primer given 5 minutes before interventions
- 2. B subunit 3.3 ug/subunit/cc mixed in 7.5mg/1 NaHCO₃
- 3. Placebo solution same as primer -

Any side effects of the B subunit of placebo will be noted on the same ; form used in the volunteer study.

3. Laboratory Support

Bacteriology:

Rectal swabs (R/S) will be placed in Carey Blair media for transport and plated in the laboratory on TCBS agar. Patients complaining of diarrhea will have a stool specimen collected for microscopic examination and culture of all pathogens and will receive appropriate treatment.

Serology:

Fingerstick bloods (100 lambda) will be diluted in 19 parts normal saline and frozen. They will be assayed later for a rise in vibriocidal antibody and a selection will be tested by elisa for anti-B subunit titer.

4. Data Analysis:

Four endpoints will be observed among the family contacts
1) frequency of V. cholerae isolation, 2) duration of vibrio excretion, 3) presence or absence of symptoms among contacts who are culture positive or who show seroconverson of vibricidal antibody u d 4) duration of symptoms.

Since blocking should occur within hours of administering

B subunit, its effect will be most evident on days 2 through 8. On day 1, blocking could only be expected to decrease symptoms in contacts infected at the same time as the index case.

Estimates of sample size are based on the family study of Spira. Each family had an average of 4.2 people excluding the index case, 24% of family contacts were positive in the 10 days after an index case was found and 45% of these had some symptoms of diarrhea. 39% of cases which occurred on day 1 and 4% which occurred after day 8 would be excluded from analysis. This study design would provide adequate statistical power to assess a 30-50% improvement in symptoms by the blocking agent and a 20% reduction in incidence of V. cholerae at the p=.05 level.

D. SIGNIFICANCE

This study would improve our understanding of the role of blocking agents in the treatment of toxin mediated diarrheas and of the role and kinetics of toxin in the pathogenesis of the disease. As antibiotic-related problems

of drug resistance and alteration of gut flora become better appreciated, a new, different, more specific, nontoxic treatment for toxin mediated diarrheas would be desirable.

E. FACILITIES REQUIRED:

- No new office space is required
- 2. Personnel:
 - 4 Field Assistants full time
 - 1 Field Supervisor 30%
 - 1 Sr. Research Assistant 10%
 - 1 Research Technician 20%
 - 1 Keypunch Operator 10%
 - 1 Programmer 10%
 - 1 Statistical Assistant 10%
- 3. No new lab space is required
- 4. Hospital Support:

It is estimated that 200 patient-days of hospitalization will be required.

5. Logistical Support:

Transport, Dacca - Matlab - Dacca, as outlined in budget will be needed.

- 6. Major items of equipment No new item is required
- 7. Other None

F. COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS:

Full collaboration with Dr. Jan Holmgren, Institute of Medical Microbiology, Department of Bacteriology, University of Goteborg, Goteborg, Sweden, has been agreed upon.

REFERENCES

- 1. Holmgren, J. and Lonnroth, I.: Structure and function of enterotoxins and their receptors. In Cholera and Related Diarrheas, 43rd Nobel Symposium, Stockholm 1978, eds Ouchterlony, O. and Holmgren, J. Karger, Basel 1980, pp 88-103.
- 2. Holmgren, J.: Comparison of the tissue receptors for Vibrio cholerae and Escherichia coli enterotoxins by means of gangliosides and natural cholera toxoid.

 Infect. Immun. 8:851-859 (1973).
- 3. Pierce, N.F.: Differential inhibitory effects of cholera toxoids and ganglioside on the enterotoxins of Vibrio cholerae and Escherichia coli. J. exp. Med. 137:1009-1023 (1973).
- 4. Holmgren, J., Lonnroth, I., Mansson, J.E. and Svennerholm, L.: Interaction of cholera toxin and membrane GMl ganglioside of small intestine. Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. USA 72: 2520-2524 (1975).
- 5. Tayot, J.-L., Holmgren, J., Svennerholm, L., Tardy, M. and Lindblad, M.: Receptor-specific large scale purification of cholera toxin on silica beads derivatized with lyso-GMl ganglioside. Eur. J. Biochem., in press (1980).
- Holmgren, J., Svennerholm, A.-M., Lonnroth, I., Fall-Persson, M., Markman, B., and Lundback, H.: Development of improved cholera vaccine based on subunit toxoid. Nature, Lond. 269: 602-604 (1977).
- 7. a) Holmgren, J. et al.: Unpublished information described protocol 79-009.
 - b) Sack, D.: Reactogenicity of cholera B subunit antigen. ICDDR, B memorandum, 21 November 1979.
- 8. Svennerholm, A.-M., Sack, D., Bardhan, P.K. and Holmgren, J. to be published.
- 9. Spira, W.M., Saeed Y.A., Khan, M.U., Sattar, M.A. Microbiological Surveillance of intra-neighborhood El Tor cholera transmission in Rural Bangladesh. (in press, 1980).

ABSTRACT SUMMARY

- The population to be studied includes family members of cholera patients from the VTS area. Children under 1 year of age and pregnant women would be excluded. These family contacts have a hundred-fold greater risk of getting cholera than the normal population.
- 2. The risks related to this study are minimal. B subunit has been tested in animals & volunteers and no adverse effects have been noted to the orally administered preparation.
- 3. Daily surveillance of these families will allow any patients developing cholera to be identified early and be treated in the field or in the hospital.
- 4. Index cases and family contacts will be identified only by a study number so confidentiality can be maintained.

 Data forms with the names attached will be locked in a file in the investigators office and names will be deleted at the end of the study.

- 5. Verbal informed consent will be obtained from the head of the household, and all adult members. A statement explaining the study (attached) will be read to each member and every effort will be made to insure that each understands the facts of informed consent.
- A daily interview covering daily signs and symptoms of illness will take place.
- 7. Cholera is endemic in Matlab and in other areas of
 Bangladesh and new approaches to therapy and prevention
 are needed particularly if antibiotic resistance persists.
 B subunit offers possibilities for treatment both as a
 blocking agent as well as a possible vaccine candidates.
 Should B subunit be an effective blocking agent, the
 direction forwards non-antibiotic toxin specific modes
 of therapy for diarrheal diseases caused by toxins
 would be more clear.
- 8. This study requires collection of fingertip blood.

SECTION III - BUDGET

A. DETAILED BUDGET

1. PERSONNEL SERVICES

	Name	Position	% or #	Project	Requirements
	Name	the same state of the same sta	of Days	Taka	Dollar
Dr.	Glass	Scientist	20%	To desire the same	7,000
Dr.	Holmgren -	Scientist	-		* :
Dr.	Yunus	Physician-in-Charge Matlab	10%	7,575	
Men	I. Huq	Microbiologist	10%	9,500	₹ 1
	Khan	Supervisor	30%	15,350	•••
	be named)	Field Asst.(4)	100%	94,808	***
	Alim	Sr. Research Asst.	10%	4,930	-
	Belayet	Research Technician	20%	4,300	
	be named)	Keypunch Operator	10%	1,600	
(10	11	Programer	10%	3,990	
	11	Statistical Asst.	10%	3,600	pas.

Sub-Total

145,653

2. SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS

<u>Item</u>	Unit Cost	
Rectal swabs for vibrio - 10,000 Cholera antitoxin tests - 1,000 Vibricidal assays - 1,000 Stationery, office supplies,	Tk. 11.00 5.00 5.00	110,000 5,000 5,000
Computer paper and cards		2,500
	•	
	Sub-Total	122,500

3. EQUIPMENT

None

4. PATIENT HOSPITALIZATION

Numb	er	\mathbf{of}	pat	tie	en t	days
(50	pat	ien	ts	X	4	days)

200 @ 130T/d 10,000

Sub-Total 10,000

				equirements Dollar
		Unit Cost	Taka	Dollar
) .	OUTPATIENT CARE			
	ORS packets - 150 patients x 2 packets each @ 1Tk/packet		300	
6.	ICDDR,B TRANSPORT			
	Dacca-Matlab - 20 round trips	400T/trip	8,000	
	Hours water transport - 4 run hrs/day z 180 days	100T/hour	72,000	
	Sub-	-Total	80,000	
ī.	TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION OF	PERSONS		
	International travel			3,500
	Sub-T	otal		3,500
8,	TRANSPORTATION OF THINGS			
	Importation of supplies		<u></u>	200
	Sub-T	otal		200
9.	RENT, COMMUNICATIONS & UTILIT	IES		200
	€ ub~T	otal		200

		Project Taka	Requirements Dollar
10.	PRINTING AND REPRODUCTION		200
	Sub-Total		200
11.	OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES None		
12.	CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, ALTERATIONS		

None

B . BUDGET SUMMARY

	Category	Taka	Dollars
1.	Personnel	145,653	7,000
2.	Supplies	122,500	~
3.	Equipment	~	-
4.	Hospitalization	10,000	
5.	Outpatients	300	-
6.	ICDDR,B Transport	80,000	-
7.	Travel Persons		3500
8.	Transportation Things		200
9.	Rent/Communication		200
io.	Printing/Reproduction	** * ,	200
11.	Contractual Services	dia .	***
12.	Construction		
		appendig strapped productions were responsively and state of published	,
		358,153	11,100

Total

(US\$23,107)

Grand Total :

US\$54,207

CONSENT FORM

Yesterday, a member of your family became ill with cholera and was hospitalized at the cholera hospital in Matlab. In the next 10 days, others of you may become ill with cholera as well. Doctors of the Cholera Hospital are studying a new substance called B subunit which they feel may prevent or decrease the severity of disease caused by cholera. The material is a natural substance which has previously been tested in man and has caused no adverse reactions. We would like you to participate in this study. If you decide to do so, we will give you and members of your family either 2 doses of B subunit or 2 doses of a bicarbonate solution. Regardless of which you receive, we will visit your family every day for 10 days, inquire about any illness, and take a rectal swab which will help us determine if others have cholera. On the first and the last day of the study, we will collect a small sample of blood from your finger which will help us determine if you might have had cholera but not have suffered any symptoms and if you responded appropriately to the new material.

If you or anyone should become ill during this period, we will either provide treatment for you at home or bring you to the hospital.

During this study, and afterwards, your names and any information on illness that you provide will be held confidential. You will not be specifically named or identified in connection with this study. If you chose not to participate, you may still receive treatment at the cholera hospital should you become ill. If you agree to participate, you may withdraw from the study at anytime.

अठ्रुण- अम्मभावं अर्थिय(वंवं तक्षिप् साम्भे क्षिकं विशेष व्यक्ति रिमं अन्य- कापका हात्र भागाला वात् रामिति। व्यासामी २० स्थितं अश्वी ज्यानामा सकाना ने किथा ज्याप्त वर्ष मासिन । वर्णाया ग्रीसमाशियां माश्रीकार B- 2mpmit मार्स पयारि- अन्निन भिरं अविमास कर्याप्त आभा कार्यस द्यान मिलिद्धिय क हेअर् जीवर कमार न्याभी कर्वाह न्यार त्रे. अपन्तित निष्. सापर्यायं अभवं अवहां क्या अभिति त्या अग्रंब मीया दिया विस्म अहिए या टिए म ज्याअंग आभाषि तड़ अविन्यांभे अभ अउप क्यंदि अपट्यात्र- प्रथित- । जाए- भाषात्र अभीव वेप कार्य वर्ष अभिन आम्मिटक त्रिक ज्याममार महिवादिव सद्पादक रहे SINTA- B- Subunit AT bicarebonate Brasofta 643211 26 (म. १काप 3, महरू हारअंग इद्रेश था. रिक्षप आअंग आअपाड अञ्चिति अवंभवं 30 श्रिप अरस्य' अग्यपिषं दिस्प अर्हेर उटल टिग्नेल अपने अपे आटंब किया ट्रांस र्जात खि-ता. Chania होंगे. कार्यु- मिर्प अभगेष इक लाभणाया (व ते अनुमिद्धिं त्यानुक अम्भिक्षार्कता रिशमक अम् आअंग मेता 3 त्थात. भूप आर्ज्य रिग्रिक त्यालामी. आर्ज्ञाप चंत्र (प्र

अल्लियां दियां शह्यां शह्यां श्रिकारा । विश्वास्त स्वास्त्र स्वास

सिट्टिम सिक्षितं कर्षात्। सिट्टिम सिक्षितं करिक्राभितं करिक्राभितः कर्षेत् अप वित्र