

WATER AND SANITATION ISSUES IN DISASTER MANAGEMENT

Proceedings
of a Workshop
GARNET Activities in Bangladesh



WATER AND SANITATION ISSUES IN DISASTER MANAGEMENT

Proceedings
of a Workshop
GARNET Activities in Bangladesh

Prepared by

Bilqis Amin Hoque Shafiul Azam Ahmed Hasnat Iftekhar Hossain Nigar Sultana

Editor

MA Rahim

Managing Editor

M Shamsul Islam Khan

Cover Design

MA Rahim

Computer Assistance

Talut Solaiman

ISBN 984-551-071-X.

October 1996

© International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh

Special Publication No. 53

Publisher

International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh Mohakhali, Dhaka 1212, Bangladesh

(ICDDR,B, GPC Box 128, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh)

Telephone:

(880 2) 871751-60 (10 lines)

Fax:

(880 2) 883116, 886050

Cable:

CHOLERA DHAKA

Telex:

675612 ICDD BJ

E-mail:

msik%cholera@external.ait.ac.th

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B). The ICDDR,B is supported by the countries and agencies which share its concern for the health problems of developing countries. Current donors providing core support include: the aid agencies of the governments of Australia, Bangladesh, Belgium, Canada, China, Denmark, Germany, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway, Republic of Korea, Saudi Arabia, Sri Lanka, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, the United Kingdom, and the United States; international organizations, including Arab Gulf Fund, Asian Development Bank, European Union, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), and the World Health Organization (WHO).

Contents

Acknowledgements	
Summary	5
Introduction	7
Methodology and Participation	9
Findings	
Water	11
Sanitation	13
Education and Dissemination	14
Coordination	15
General Programme	15
Discussion	17
Recommendations	19
References	20
Annondiv	21

Summary

A two-day workshop aimed at bringing together government and non-governmental organizations, universities, UN and donor agencies, active in water and sanitation (WS) issues in disaster mitigation programmes was held on 22-23 April 1996 at ICDDR,B, the Centre for Health and Population Research, to analyze the existing WS issues in disaster situations. The participants in the workshop defined disaster as events associated with flood, cyclone, and tornado.

The topics of discussions were grouped into five disciplines: water, sanitation, education and dissemination, coordination, and general programme. WS activities that received negligible attention so far but need immediate attention were identified by the participants to be: raising of latrine above flood level, dewatering of ponds, disposal of dead bodies, promotion of actions following signal, rainwater harvesting, standardization of WPT, quality control of WPT, school programme, appropriate management of water resources in drought, exploration of acceptable surface water sources, quality of education materials, latrine distribution at the household level and action-oriented education programme on water and sanitation.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Disasters like cyclone, tornado, and flood are almost regular annual events in Bangladesh. The United Nations General Assembly, in its Resolution 44/236 of December 1989, proclaimed 1990-1999 as the International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction. In accordance with this recognition and interest shown by the international community, Bangladesh is encouraged to undertake short-term and long-term programmes to control disaster-related problems.

One such problem is the frequent occurrence of postdisaster diarrhoea epidemics (1). The association between watersanitation and diarrhoea transmission is well known (2, 3). In many instances, people are forced into crowded unsanitary conditions, which can lead to outbreaks of diseases in epidemic form. The presence of high-quality water or the provision of safe drinking water will not, alone, prevent diarrhoea outbreaks in areas like Bangladesh where other parallel routes of diarrhoea transmission exist. It may be pointed out that diarrhoea is endemic in Bangladesh, and the disasters may increase transmission of diseases that already exist. Therefore, WS programmes relating to disaster management has implications in public health, in addition to relief operations and development.

We present here the experiences of a group of government organizations (GO) and non-governmental organizations (NGO), universities, UN and donor agencies, involved in WS programmes in disaster situations, who participated in a workshop "Water and Sanitation in Disasters of Bangladesh." These participants included programme managers, physicians and engineers. These participants analyzed the WS situations that exist in disaster mitigation activities. This workshop was organized as a part of Global Applied Research Network (GARNET) activities in Bangladesh. The aim of GARNET is to strengthen the application of research into practice, and improve the quality of applied research. Its Bangladesh Chapter includes a group of about 150 representatives government non-governmental organizations, from and universities, UN and donor agencies. This group meets quarterly to share information and pursue GARNET activities in Bangladesh. The participants meet at their own cost, and the Environmental Health Programme (EHP) of ICDDR,B coordinates their activities. One of the earlier suggestions from the Bangladesh Chapter of GARNET was to organize this workshop.

CHAPTER 2

Methodology and Participation

The workshop continued for two days from 22 April to 23 April 1996. About thirty-three representatives from various government and mom-governmental organizations, universities, UN and WHO agencies involved in disaster-related programmes participated in the workshop (Appendix).

The participants selected three major disasters that commonly occurred in last 5 years, and they also identified the issues whereon immediate attention should be given with respect to WS-related activities. These are: cyclone, flood, and tornado. They also discussed drought as a major concern. They identified the WS issues which were observed and/or felt important during their activities in the affected rural areas. It was decided that the period immediately after and within 2-3 weeks of the disaster would be covered in the discussion.

Important issues as observed and recorded in their field activities were grouped by the participants into five disciplines: (i) water, (ii) sanitation, (iii) education and dissemination, (iv) coordination, and (v) general programme. It was decided that the findings of this workshop would be published and distributed among programme managers and policy makers in the concerned

sectors. All participants expressed the view that WS activities are identified as a priority in the relief agenda but lack of appropriate knowledge, technology and techniques, and coordination has been hampering effective implementation of the programme. The participants individually categorized every WS issues as 'adequate', 'inadequate' or 'negligible/absent' based on their observational estimation of the on-going activities.

CHAPTER 3

Findings

Water

The availability and sources of water were categorized under three groups: tubewell (TW) water, non-tubewell water for domestic purposes, and treatment of water for drinking purposes. Local people commonly drink tubewell water and use pond water for bathing, washing and purposes. When a disaster occurs, it would not be reasonable to expect that adequate number of tubewells could be installed to meet the total demand for domestic water; thus the roles of other water sources need to be considered. Observations on the above are recorded in Table 1, 2 and 3.

Table 1: Water

Issu		Adequate (%)	Inadequate (%)	Absent/ Negligible (%)
	TW repair or re-sinking TW installation (New)	8	92	0
	Availability of spare parts	14 0	79 58	7 42
	Availability of maintenance tools	8	54	38
	TW installation for alternate use (in drought-prone areas)	0	45	55

Attempts to repair the existing tubewells and installation of new ones by the Government and NGOs were more or less made but non-availability of spare parts and maintenance tools was

mentioned by more than one-third of the participants (Table 1). This was reported to cause severe problems in repairing private tubewells in some affected areas, especially when the Government and NGOs decide to provided service to their clients before they did this to other private users. During a disaster, the users of public and private facilities are equally affected. In drought-prone areas, two wells are installed — one at lower depth for use in wet season and another at greater depth for use in dry season. The tubewell head is moved from one well to the other as needed. This is referred to as alternate tubewell installation. During drought this arrangement have been reported to be useful.

Installation of devices other than tubewells or tapping of ground water in drought-affected areas was reported to be absent by a majority of the participants. The majority (>64%) felt that exploration of existing surface water sources and/or its resolution was rarely attempted (Table 2).

Following disaster, some ponds may become highly poluted due to increased salinity by sea-water, decaying biomass, etc. This necessitates dewatering of the pond by pumping out its water content, and is subsequently filled by groundwater recharging and/or rain water. Pond water is used for domestic purposes by about 80% of the people. This demand for pond water is difficult to be met with tubewell water. Scarcity of domestic water has direct public health implications since hygiene practices are affected.

Table 2: Sources of domestic water other than tubewells

Issue	Adequate (%)	Inadequate (%)	Absent/ Negligible (%)
 Exploration of available surface water sources Ponds Transportation of water Rain water harvesting 	9 0 0	27 0 0 20	64 100 100 80

Table 3: Treatment of drinking water

Adequate (%)	Inadequate (%)	Absent/ Negligible (%)
0	92	8
0	25	75
0	17	83
۵	46	45
ا م	0 1	100
	•	(%) (%) 0 92 0 25 0 17

Although the majority reported availability of water purifying tablets (WPT) to be inadequate, the standardization and quality of available WPT was felt to be the most important concern (Table 3). Tests conducted (4) following the cyclone of 1991 and those conducted recently show that the two problems associated with WPTs -- inadequate potency and variation in types -- prevail at an alarming level.

SANITATION

Overall, the sanitation/latrine distribution activities were reported as poor (Table 4). Although almost all documents advised the users to install latrines above flood level, it was hardly practised. The lack of appropriate technology complicates the whole concept of

affordable latrine in flood-affected areas. Disposal of dead bodies that pollute ponds and other surface water sources is a major problem but received negligible attention so far.

Table 4: Sanitation

issi	le	Adequate (%)	Inadequate (%)	Absent/ Negligible (%)
1.	Ring-Slab-distribution at household level	0	50	50
2.	Appropriate latrine technology	0	83	17
3.	Disposal of dead bodies	0	10	90
4.	Raising of latrine above flood level	0	0	100

Education and Dissemination

Table 5 shows that attempts for education and dissemination are more or less made but there is scope for improvement in strategy to approach people.

Table 5: Disaster education

Issue	Adequate (%)	Inadequate (%)	Absent/ Negligible (%)
Programme (general health)	0	94	6
2. Education material (quantity)	0	79	21
3. Education material (quality)	10	40	56
4. Action-oriented education programme	21	29	50
5. School programmes	7	22	71
6. Literacy programmes	8	38	54

Health education activities are going on but school and literacy programmes are reported to be lacking in this regard. These institutions could play the most influential role in any community activities considered appropriate. These are indeed linked with provision of communications material. It may be noted that lack of

appropriate literature relating to action was reported by 80% of the participants.

Coordination

The coordination between GO and NGO was indicated to be inadequate (Table 6). It is an important activity in order to achieve effective and timely results. It is possible that the follow-on actions are not properly understood by the NGOs and/or community people because of the coordination gap. It is encouraging to find that about a half of the participants felt local community was being adequately involved in the programmes.

Table 6: Coordination and follow-on actions

		Adequate	Inadequate	Absent/
Iss	ue	(%)	(%)	Negligible (%)
1.	Between NGOs	50	50	0
2.	Between government organizations (GO)	60	30	10
3.	Between GO and NGO	0	100	0
	Monitoring and evaluation	36	50	14
5.	Appropriate warning/signal number	0	54	46
6.	Promotion of coordinated actions			
<u></u>	following signal	0	17	83

General Programme

The general operations which may directly or indirectly affect the WS activities were discussed (Table 7). The participants contended that the official declaration of disaster is often delayed. This means there is no National Act in this regard. This may affect the immediate WS relief activities and programme effectiveness. For example, delay in certain WS activities may contribute to outbreak of disease epidemics. Training of relief personnel is inadequate. The local capabilities to undertake immediate actions to cope

with the disaster situation were also reported to be inadequate/ negligible, and this obviously indicates absence of such training.

Table 7: Programme

Issu	е	Adequate	Inadequate	Absent/
		(%)	(%)	Negligible (%)
1.	Need for timely declaration by Government	15	62	23
2.	Manpower (Technical)	13	80	7
3.	Finance	14	79	7
4.	Training of relief personnel	18	64	18
5.	Selection of appropriate personnel	33	67	0
6.	Involvement of local community:			
	in Planning	50	29	21
	in Activities	33	50	17
7.	Capability of local community to cope with the situation (Training)	0	64	36
8.	Involvement of local masons/semi- skilled	0	91	9 .
9.	Disaster mitigation planning	25	50	25
10.	Access to information at local level	0	75	25
11.	Access to information at central level	10	70	20
12.	Prompt mobilization of resources	0	92	8
13.	Assessment of available and needed tubewells and other water sources	0	64	36
14.	Installation of DTW/Deep set (Drought)	0	22	78
15.	Appropriate management of water sources (Drought)	0	33	67
16.	Shelters lacking water and sanitation facilities	0	60	40
17.	Inappropriate placement of latrine and water facilities in shelter and camps	0	89	11

Chapter 4

Discussion

Although this report presents the views of the participants, in this section we would like to discuss the findings in general perspectives.

The participants based their activities on the situations observed and reported in terms of adequacy, inadequacy and absence/negligible. We would have liked to prioritize the need for WS activities in relation to disaster but due to time constraint, we decided to know about only the existing situations as much as possible.

If we compare the two identified extreme situations-adequate and absent/negligible--we may have an idea about the priority of issues. We reviewed the issues that were identified adequate by at least half of the participants. Out of the 47 identified issues, three were adequate, thirteen were absent/negligible, and the rest were reported to be inadequate. Involvement of community in the planning process of post-disaster activities (50%), coordination between NGOs (50%) and coordination between government organizations (60%) were identified as adequately practiced.

Issues that are related to distribution of sanitary latrines, treatment of water, various options of water sources and appropriate WS education were categorized as "absent/negligible" by 50% or more of the participants. We think that these issues should be addressed on immediate priority basis in accordance with the participants' views (Table 8). These findings are similar as mentioned by the participants of a regional workshop in 1991, and it is unfortunate that the situations in this sector more or less remained the same (5).

The need for priority actions will vary according to the local situations. It is recommended that the issues noted here be considered appropriately under two categories: (i) for disaster-prone areas, the needs should be met on urgent basis, and (ii) on the national level, the needs may be met based on available resources.

able	8: Absent/negligible WS activities	
1.	Raising of latrine above flood level	100
2.	Dewatering of ponds	100
3,	Disposal of dead bodies	90
4.	Promotion of actions following signal	83
5.	Rainwater harvesting	80
6.	Standardization of WPT	75
7.	Quality control of WPT	75
8.	School programme	71
9.	Appropriate management of water resources in drought	67
10.	Exploration of acceptable surface water source	64
11.	Quality of education materials	56
12.	Latrine distribution at household level	50
13.	Action-oriented education programme on WSS	50

Recommendations

- 1. Workshop on ways and means of mitigating the identified problems should be held with concerned policy makers, programme managers and personnel with field expertise.
- 2. Workshops be held on water and sanitation management in other frequently occurring disasters such as tornado, river erosion, drought, fire, etc.
- 3. Operations Research on appropriate strategy for disaster management is also needed so that programmes and frameworks may be developed based on real experience.

References

- 1. Siddique A.K., Q. Islam, K. Akram, Y. Mazumder, A. Mitra and A. Eusof .1989. Developing World Cholera Epidemic and Natural Disasters: Where is the Link: *Tropical and Geographical Medicine* 41: 377-382.
- 2. Esrey, S.A., R.G. Feachem and J.M. Hughes. 1985. Interventions for the control of diarrhoeal disease among young children: improving water supplies and excreta disposal facilities. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 63: 757-72.
- 3. Bilqis A. Hoque and R. Bradley Sack.1983. "Environment and Diarrhoea" in Recent Trends in Diarrhoea & Malnutrition, Editors, A.S. Mcneish, S.K. Mittal and .A. Walker Smith. Published by Dr. S.K. Mittal, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi 110002.
- 4. Bilqis A. Hoque, R. Bradley Sack, Mizan Siddiqi, M. Jahangir Alam, Hazera Nazrul and Ali Nahid.1983. Environmental Health and the 1991 Bangladesh cyclone. *Disasters* Volume 17 Number 2: 143-152.
- 5. Bilqis A.H., R. Bradley Sack, M. Bateman, S. Zeitlyn.1991. Proceedings of the Workshop on Water and Sanitation Priorities for the 1990's. ICDDR, B Special Publication No. 28: 1-42.

Appendix

List of Participants

- 1. Mr. Anwaruzzaman Ratan ALORAN, Vill & P.O. Bakila, Chandpur
- 2. Mr. Shariful SECO, Jessore
- 3. Mr. Sharif Mahbubul Kuddus DACOB, Brahmanpara, Comilla
- 4. Mr. Ariful Islam Srizony, Jhenidah
- 5. Mr. Chowdhury Md. Masum SANGRAM, Patharghata, Barguna
- 6. Mr. Abul Hossain Kedarpur Tarun Majlish, Babuganj, Barisal
- 7. Mr. M.A. Majid WES Section, UNICEF, Dhaka
- 8. Mr. Santosh Kumar Sarkar CHCP, Mirpur, Dhaka
- 9. Mr. Firoz Khan PRATYASHA
- 10. Mr. Md. Kamal Uddin G.K. Sayar

- 11. Mr. Mahbubul Kabir Himel ASFEC
- Mr. Tazul Islam LGED, Dhaka
- 13. Ms. Sultana Naznin Afroze LGED, Dhaka
- 14. Mr. M. Tofazzal Hossain Grameen Unnayan Kendra
- Mr. Sarkar Mohammad Ali Together for Service of People
- 16. Ms. Ayesha Hossain UNICEF, Dhaka
- 17. Engr. Abdullah IFCDR, BUET, Dhaka
- Mr. Golam Mahmud CARE-Bangladesh, Dhaka
- Dr. Nurul Anowar
 DGHS, Mohakhali, Dhaka
- Mr. Abdus Salam Khan Grameen Bank Training Centre
- 21. Mr. Md. Mujibur Rahman LGED, Dhaka
- 22. Mr. S.I. Khan
 Gono Jagaran Kendra, Jessore

- 23. Mr. A.N.M. Jahidur Rahman Techno Dia, Dhaka
- Mr. M.A. Momen Sikder AVRD, Barisal
- 25. Mr. Md. Zainal Abedin DPHE, Dhaka
- Mr. Alex Redekopp
 WHO, Dilkusha, Dhaka
- 27. Mr. Mofazzal Hoque WHO, Dilkusha, Dhaka
- 28. Mr. Niranjan Mondal
 Disaster Management Bureau
- 29. Mr. Ahmed Mofazzal Hoque DPHE, Dhaka
- 30. Dr. Bilqis Amin Hoque
 Environmental Health Programme, ICDDR,B
- 31. Mr. Shafiul A. Ahmed
 Environmental Health Programme, ICDDR,B
- 32. Mr. Hasnat Iftekhar Hossain
 Environmental Health Programme, ICDDR,B
- 33. Ms. Nigar Sultana
 Environmental Health Programme, ICDDR,B

When Salma was just heartbeats away from death, ICDDR,B helped her fight for life.

She's just one of 10,000 patients we save every month. Those who make it to our Mohakhali hospital have more than 99.5% chance of survival. That's why we're considered the best diarrhoeal disease hospital in the world.



We want to continue providing free treatment to over 120,000 patients a year - and saving almost as many lives.

SO PLEASE HELP, with an open heart, an open mind!



Your gift to ICDDR, B's Hospital Endowment Fund is a gift of life that will be invested, not spent. Our goal is to raise \$10 million by the year 2000. Your donation of Tk. 10,000 will save one life every year for eternity. Tk. 100,000 will do the same for 10 lives. And so on. So how many lives will you save today?

Please send your donations to: The Chairman, ICDDR, B Hospital Endowment Fund, GPO Box 128, Dhaka 1000. Tel: 871751-60 Fax: 880-2-883116